

**The regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 6:30 PM by Chairman Richard Granger, KGCBOS, in the Robert H. Combs Board Room of the Revercomb Building, located at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, VA, 22485.**

**BOS Members Present:** Chairman, Richard Granger  
Vice Chairman, T.C. Collins  
Cathy Binder  
Ann Cupka  
Jeffery Stonehill

**County Administrator:** Christopher Miller

**County Attorney:** Kelly Lackey

### **Call to Order:**

**0:00:01.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *I call to order this meeting, the King George County Board of Supervisors. I will open with an invocation and then Mr. Miller will lead us in the pledge. Please rise.*

### **Invocation:**

**0:00:13.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Lord, we just, we thank you for this day. We thank you for this time together as a board, as we have come to consider the matters of the county. We thank you for the break in the weather and the cool weather, just the cooler weather we have. We just ask that you watch over us, Lord, and give us good wisdom. We ask that you be with the teachers in the schools as they start their new school year this year. Just bless the students and the teachers and the staff and just allow them to be successful. Just to do your will, Lord, just guide us in our decision-making tonight. Help us to make good decisions, Lord, decisions that would honor you and glorify you. In Jesus name we pray. Amen.*

### **Pledge Of Allegiance:**

**Mr. Miller led the Pledge of Allegiance.**

### **Amendments to the Agenda:**

**0:01:03.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay. Thank you. We will move on to amendments to the agenda. Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments?*

**0:01:12.0 Chris Miller:** *I believe there was a part of the minutes that needed to be amended.*

**0:01:15.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay. We will address that when it comes time to adopt the minutes.*

**0:01:21.3 Chris Miller:** *That's it.*

### Public Comment:

**0:01:22.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you, sir. In that case, we'll move on to public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing. Please state your name and your address and then you will have your three minutes. So, if anyone is here for public comment, please approach the podium now. Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online for public comment?

**0:02:04.5 Mr. Dines:** No. Mr. Chairman.

**0:02:06.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** Did anyone receive any correspondence to be entered into the record? Okay. In that case, we will close public comment. Mr. Stonehill, is everything okay? Do you need a moment? Okay. In that case, we'll go to reports of members of the board. We'll start with you Mrs. Binder.

### Reports of Members of the Board:

**0:02:25.7 Cathy Binder:** Yes. Thank you very much. I want to start by wishing the students and staff of all the schools in King George good luck in the new school year. Should be exciting and fun. Hopefully, it'll be a little bit nice, warm, but not too hot for the first start of the school year, and I'm glad to see some of the administration and school members out here to come to our meeting. Thank you for stopping by. Some things I did in the time, it has been a couple of weeks since we had our last meeting. I attended another HB 206 meeting. It was very informative. I learned a lot about soil compaction from an expert from Virginia Tech and it concerns solar farms. So, it also goes for a lot of other projects that we'll be doing in the county. And it was very interesting, and I understand why some of my plants don't grow in my garden. I need to be a little bit better at tilling that soil. So, it was very informative and everyone, anyone wants to see it, just email me and I can send it to you. It was a lot of information. I also went to the affordable housing State work group where Davenport Associates were there, talking about bonding and grants and it was very informative. So, thank you and you're here in the audience. So that was some good information. And then I also attended the coalition of the High Growth Coalition, I always get it wrong, Committee meeting in Culpepper and where we talked a lot about affordable housing. And I also attended with Mr. Stonehill and Mr. Minor the designation of the Northern Neck Heritage area. And I thank Congress for passing that and the president signing it into order to recognize our Northern Neck. A lot of people don't realize that King George is part of the Northern Neck Heritage Area because they just assume that it's Westmoreland and everything down there. But we are the gateway to the Northern Neck. So, it was a good event and learned a lot of information for some of the folks that were there about some history I didn't know about. And it's always great to learn a lot more because you should always be learning. So, thank you, Lisa Hall, and her staff for putting that together at Stratford Hall. That was all I had. Thank you very much.

**0:04:28.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you Mrs. Binder. Mr. Collins.

**0:04:31.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Good evening everyone. Greetings. Thank you for coming out. Dr. Boyd, I met your assistant superintendent yesterday. Would you mind introducing him to the rest of the folks?

**0:04:42.9 Dr. Boyd:** Absolutely. Yeah. This is Dr. Troy Wright. Do you want me to come to the podium? Good evening guys. Thank you so much. Appreciate the opportunity. Thanks for putting me on the spot, TC.

**0:04:55.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** You're welcome.

**0:04:55.6 Dr. Boyd:** And I'll put you now on the spot Dr. Wright joins us from Riverbend High School, where he is the former principal there. He's been with us now for how many weeks? Three?

**0:05:05.6 Dr. Troy Wright:** Three weeks.

**0:05:07.0 Dr. Boyd:** Three weeks. And has already made a great impact in our school division. If you had the opportunity to come to our convocation on Monday, he had a pivotal role there. Helped out rolling our new school year and has done a number of great things already in our school division. So, I'm personally very excited to have him in King George County. Thank you. Thank you TC.

**0:05:24.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Thank you Dr. Boyd. So, constituent asked me a question that I couldn't answer. It was on the financials. It was a Didgeridoo show for \$450? Could you give me some more information on that from the library? Also, at the Dominion meeting when we had the joint meeting, I asked many questions and I asked them to get back to me, and they have not answered any questions, have not gotten back to me. If they've gotten back to you, I haven't heard about it. Tonight's Night out up there at the high school, if you don't like this meeting, you go up there and have a good time. I thank everyone and hope everybody has a good summer and good start to school. Thank you.

**0:06:17.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you, Mr. Collins. Mrs. Cupka.

**0:06:21.9 Ann Cupka:** Thank you. Mr. Chair. Good evening everyone. Thank you for joining us here this evening. I mentioned this at the Service Authority Board of Directors meeting. However, it has a bearing on public health, so I will mention it here as well. I want to thank Inboden and our staff for providing information to our customers in the Dahlgren area about the possibility of lead in their water due to the age of their homes and the pipes that were installed at the time. There's some information on how to flush your lines, et cetera on the Service Authority website. On Wednesday, July 12th, I attended the joint meeting with the Planning Commission and Dominion Power. On Thursday, July 13th, I attended the ribbon cutting for the Cedell Brooks Junior Park Picnic shelter. Thank you to staff the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee and the King George Garden Club for hosting that event. On Tuesday, July 25th, I participated in a ride along in the Meadows at Dahlgren with All Points Broadband personnel to view status of our broadband grant project. So, the poles have been installed anywhere there was one necessary. The electric providers have made those installations. The lines have been strung, and now the All Points Broadband subcontractor is installing the fiber along the lines. The representatives will attend one of our September meetings to provide us with another update and also asked me to pass it on to my colleagues. If you would like to do a ride along, please send Tom Innes an email so they can get you on schedule. And the

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

project currently is on track for a first connection in our community in October and completion at the end of the calendar year. And then lastly, regarding the public comment alleging video and printed minutes were deleted, I'd like to read aloud the official county statement. During the June 6th King George Board of Supervisors meeting, a portion of the YouTube live stream was unknowingly interrupted by an unstable internet connection. The county is unaware of any other instance where an unstable internet connection has interrupted a recording of a livestream. Transcripts of live recordings are used to create meeting minutes. Due to the unstable internet connection, some of the recording was not transcribed into the original minutes that were approved during the June 20, Board of Supervisors meeting. However, once the county was made aware of this issue, the county retrieved the hard drive recording of the June 6th meeting and posted the complete recording to the county's YouTube on July 12th. The meeting minutes were amended to reflect the complete recording transcript and can be found here. The minutes will be formally ratified at the August 1st meeting tonight later on. And now that King George County is aware this issue can occur with an unstable internet connection, it will be altering its transcription process to no longer use the livestream recording and instead utilize the hard drive recording that does not rely on internet connection. The public is encouraged to attend and speak during Board of Supervisors meetings. The board regularly meets on the first and third Tuesday of each month unless otherwise advertised. So, I'd like to thank the citizen who brought this concern forward to us during public comment. I contacted him the very next day. And I just, me personally, I want to say that I'm very proud of our staff, and I know that none of them would purposely delete anything in the minutes, nor would they purposely delete content on a YouTube video, and I also want to state for the record that in addition to that citizen, there were two other citizens' public comments who had three dots, an ellipsis, which means it did not transcribe from the recording as well Chairman Granger had some comments later in the meeting that were not complete. So, I am very confident in our staff and that there was no ill intent in how this played out. That's all I have. Thank you very much.

**0:10:40.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you, Mrs. Cupka. Mr. Stonehill.

**0:10:47.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Thank you. Thanks to everybody that came out to the audience. I don't want to get this all started again, but I did have a very long phone conversation for about an hour or so with a citizen in King George that is actually from, probably going to mess it up, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania area. That's where, I guess the Pyramid Health folks came from. And he had really terrible, very negative things to say about what has happened in that area with that company. And now he's here and he's concerned that it's kind of followed him here to King George. But I was hoping he was going to come out and speak tonight to give you all some kind of firsthand info of that area, which I don't really know much about, but hopefully he'll come at some other point. And then as Mrs. Binder said, July 17th, we went to the launch and celebration program Of the Northern Neck National Heritage Area, which is actually, they actually started the paperwork on that process back in 2000. So, it took 23 years for the government, I know that's surprising, to get this all done and get it passed and get Congress and the President to pass it. But yeah, it's a great thing here for the entire Northern Neck. As Mrs. Binder said, we are definitely the gateway to the Northern Neck. So that was a great program and that's all I have. Thank you.

**0:12:18.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you. Mr. Stone hill. I'd just like to say thank you to

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

everyone for coming out. Welcome Dr. Wright. I really appreciate you being out here and the opportunity. We did meet at the convocation ceremony, but thanks for coming out to the meeting as well and good luck with the schools this year. It's great to have you here, so thank you. Mr. Jones, I apologize for the confusion of the last meeting. I know we don't normally have you come until the second meeting, and we only had the one in July and so I apologize to you for that confusion because I was thinking we should have you and but thank you for coming to this meeting. I know it's not regular, but I appreciate being here to report on the June ones since we didn't plan the one meeting in July. So, thank you for that. And then, let's see here. I would just like again to say thank you to Dr. Boyd and the schools and the staff for the opportunity to come and speak with the teachers and the rest of the staff at the convocation ceremony. It was an honor and a privilege to be able to have that. And we really wish you guys the best of luck this year and I'm sure it'll be great. So, look forward to great things from the schools. And that is all I have. So, I will move on to the consent agenda.

**Consent Agenda:**

**0:13:23.8 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair. If I could, if we could adopt all of the items, say 08-01.5 and approve the minutes individually as there is one minor edit that I believe you pointed out, that we'd like to capture this evening.

**0:13:43.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** Yes, ma'am.

**0:13:48.1 Ann Cupka:** I'll move to adopt, consent agenda items 08-01 through 08-01.4.

**0:13:58.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Second.

**0:14:00.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:14:04.0 Ann Cupka:** Aye.

**0:14:04.0 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**0:14:04.0 Vice Chairman Collins:** Aye.

**0:14:04.0 Jeffery Stonehill:** Aye

**0:14:05.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

**0:14:15.5 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, I'll move to approve the amended June 6th, 2023. King George County Board of Supervisor meeting minutes.

**0:14:25.9 Cathy Binder:** Second.

**0:14:26.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any

discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:14:31.2 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**0:14:31.6 Ann Cupka:** Aye.

**0:14:32.3 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:14:32.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Aye

**0:14:32.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

**0:14:35.8 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, I'll move to adopt the July 11th, 2023. King George County Board of Supervisor meeting minutes with a minor amendment on page 14 of the minutes at 45 minutes, 23.3 seconds. There is a statement, do we have any other questions for the sheriff? Okay, thank you sir. It was previously attributed to Mr. Miller. It should be attributed to Chairman Richard Granger.

**0:15:09.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Do I have a second?

**0:15:10.0 Cathy Binder:** Second.

**0:15:10.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:15:15.2 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**0:15:15.3 Ann Cupka:** Aye.

**0:15:15.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Aye

**0:15:15.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:15:15.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

**0:15:19.5 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, I'll move adoption of the July 12th, 2023, King George County Board of Supervisors and King George County Planning Commission joint work session meeting minutes.

**0:15:31.6 Cathy Binder:** Second.

**0:15:32.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have motion properly seconded. Do any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:15:37.2 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**0:15:37.3 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**0:15:37.4 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**0:15:37.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye*

**0:15:37.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you Ms. Cupka. I appreciate that. Right? We'll move on to constitutional officer's reports. We'll start with the commissioner of the revenue's office for the monthly report. Do we not have that? The 15th? So, okay, so you were saying she's supposed to come. At the last meeting, we didn't get the information because there was an issue with the connection. So, I didn't know if we had it. If we don't and we get it on the 15th, I'll ask to have all that information provided on the 15th, then.*

### **Public Presentations: Constitutional Officers' Report:**

**0:16:22.7 Regina Puckett:** *I apologize.*

**0:16:23.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *It's Okay.*

**0:16:25.4 Regina Puckett:** *The agenda led me to believe that she was going to bring it all on the August 15th.*

**0:16:31.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**0:16:31.5 Regina Puckett:** *But I do have the report, she couldn't be heard on the speaker there.*

**0:16:38.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Yes, that'd be great.*

**0:16:40.0 Regina Puckett:** *So, July 22nd to present \$1,000,840. Total revenue was \$4,187,402. Total packs of cigarettes sold in May 2023, \$314,666.*

**0:17:05.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Do we have any questions? Ms. Puckett I appreciate it. Thank you. I apologize for putting you on the spot like that but thank you for the information you provided. Thank you. In that case we will move on to Mr. Jones.*

### **Report from the Treasurer Department:**

**0:17:18.9 Randy Jones:** *Good evening, Mr. Chairman and colleagues. A couple of things with my office first before I give the report. Tax bills have been mailed. So, constituents, if you have not received the bill, please give our office a call. We can issue a duplicate bill via email or mail or just give the total over the phone. So again, if you have not received a bill, you should have one by now, please give our office a call. One other issue to address, we've had a few calls to our office about delinquent notices or delinquent amounts printing on bills, then customers go online and it's no longer there. After some investigation, we've had a few customers where it was indeed delinquent. However, we had not received a payment from our collection agency or the tax withholding*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

program that we have. We've got that payment in after the printing of the bill. So, after research indeed has been paid. But it's just a timing issue with the bill. So, in each of the issues that we've had, that's what we've found there. So again, same deal though, if you have any questions about anything that you have on your bill, please don't hesitate to call our office. Now June's financial report, we began the month of June with a balance of 32 million in the general fund, \$32,436,098.88. The county received \$24,065,103.01 in revenue. We disbursed \$24,508,051.22, leaving a balance of \$31,993,150.67. This has not been reconciled to the general ledger because that usually waits until after the final audit, which I believe auditors are here in the middle of September, so. Any questions?

**0:19:21.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you for that clarification. I appreciate it Mr. Jones. Did anyone have any questions?

**0:19:25.6 Cathy Binder:** I just have one comment. I want to thank you and your staff that what you did in the Treasurer's office for the ease of use with your new set up with the place for handicap folks to come and be able to pay their bills and the new way they can go up and pay their bills. It looks really nice. And they did a really good job designing it and I've heard a lot of people make compliments about it.

**0:19:45.9 Randy Jones:** Thank you. Well, thank you all for approving it. Thank you. I appreciate it.

**0:19:49.2 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, yes, thank you. I just want to thank you, Mr. Jones, for responding. I know I believe Chairman Granger and I sent the same citizen your way with regard to the issues with her tax bill. So, thank you very much.

**0:20:03.0 Randy Jones:** We spoke to her today.

**0:20:04.3 Ann Cupka:** Yep. Thank you very much for addressing that.

**0:20:04.5 Randy Jones:** Sounds good. Any other questions?

**0:20:07.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** I think we're good but thank you Mr. Jones. I appreciate you coming out.

**0:20:14.0 Randy Jones:** Thank you. See you later.

**0:20:14.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** You have a good one. Right? We'll move on to the county attorney's report. Ms. Lackey.

### County Attorney Report, Ms. Kelly Lackey:

**0:20:23.8 Kelly Lackey:** Good evening. The first item I have is a proposed draft for design-build guidelines. The purchasing ordinance has a passing reference, almost a placeholder to design-build procurement method in compliance with state code is what it says. But the county has never adopted design-build guidelines, at least according to Travis, who is my good authority on the goings on of the history of construction and procurement in the county. And so, to my knowledge,



King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

there have never been design-build guidelines adopted in the county. This is an optional procurement method under state code. But if you do adopt guidelines, those guidelines do have to generally follow the same guidelines for the state that are issued through the Secretary of Administration. The Secretary of Administration last updated guidelines in 2020. In general, you have to have a selection panel. The selection panel has to include either an architect or an engineer that can be the staff or consultant. There's a two-step bidding process, which has a qualifications process. And then of the qualified candidates, you then have a competitive negotiation among the candidates who qualify. Price is a critical factor in award, but unlike invitations to bid where the primary or sometimes the only criteria is price. Price is a critical factor but doesn't have to be the predominant or only factor in award. And there can be efficiencies to having a single firm, or a joint venture because a design-build is when you hire one entity or a contractual relationship, a joint venture between a design firm and a construction firm, that you just have one contract where you get the project designed and built. So, there's sometimes our efficiencies to using this method. And so, it came up recently that it might be a mechanism for a relatively small project, but sometimes you can get a project done that way where you design and have it constructed. So, I just wanted to bring this forward as proposed guidelines. I know you all are just setting eyes on this so I fully anticipate that you might want to take some time to think about it and bring it back as a future action item, but I just wanted to go ahead and put the draft for you. I will say that Travis and Bryce have looked at it and Megan has looked at it as well.

**0:22:48.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** Ms. Lackey, I really appreciate you bringing this to us to consider. I will ask, as you said that it's available through the state guidelines. How tailored is this from what like the state would say is acceptable ordinance, I guess, or is it pretty open on how they allow us to.

**0:23:09.7 Kelly Lackey:** It's pretty similar to the state process. It just doesn't include some of the processes that are required. And then because there's a small procurement threshold allowed by state law, I included a small procurement process that's a little bit less complicated than, so if your project is under \$200,000 I think is the threshold. You can have a slightly abbreviated process.

**0:23:35.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Okay. Did anyone have any other questions for Ms. Lackey about this topic in particular? Is there any interest in possibly looking to bring it back? I'll just ask my colleagues. I know it's fair amount to look at, I'm not asking to bring it back at the next meeting, but to read through it more and then make a decision if we would like to advertise, I guess, for a public hearing since it's an ordinance change.

**0:23:56.3 Kelly Lackey:** It actually, if I may Mr. Chair, it's not an ordinance change, it's a kind of an odd thing. It's a policy, but it has to be a policy approved by the board.

**0:24:04.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** So, Oh, I'm sorry.

**0:24:05.6 Kelly Lackey:** I'd probably draft you all a resolution to approve the guidelines at a future meeting or to consider.

**0:24:10.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** Apologies. That's all-existing purchasing ordinance that

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

section. I thought it had to be codified in that, so apologies. But okay. I would like to look at it a little bit more. I did read through it, but yeah.

**0:24:21.0 Cathy Binder:** Mr. Chair, I do have one question thinking about it right now. How does that conflict with the construction manager at risk?

**0:24:30.0 Kelly Lackey:** So, they're both considered alternate procurement methods. So, a construction manager at risk, you usually have at least some level of design is performed by a third party, and then you hire another firm to construct according to those designs, and they can have some input on the design to hopefully reduce some of the costs and things. But you have a separate designer and a separate builder. With the design-build there's usually a hyphen in between design-build because it's instead of design-bid-build, you have just design-build. You have one contract and one entity, or perhaps a joint venture who does both functions.

**0:25:11.3 Cathy Binder:** So, it's that bid part in the middle that's taken out. So, we don't currently have a policy for that, but we do for the other two, design-bid-build and construction manager at risk?

**0:25:20.4 Kelly Lackey:** Right. So, we have a process where we do bids. So, you could bid on the design and have someone complete the design and then you bid on the construction. So, this would be a mechanism where you can select a one entity or a team to both design and construct through just one procurement, not two.

**0:25:37.6 Cathy Binder:** Okay, thank you.

**0:25:42.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** Okay, do you have another item Ms. Lackey?

**0:25:45.3 Kelly Lackey:** I do. So, the second item I have is an update on the status of the purchase agreement for the site proposed for the relocation of the Dahlgren Fire & Rescue Station. In April, this board authorized the execution of a purchase and sale agreement to acquire approximately 3.15 acres from Oakland Baptist Church. That agreement contemplated that the conveyance would be the result of a subdivision. However, a draft subdivision plat was prepared and reviewed by community development. They determined that under the subdivision ordinance, there would have to be access to an undeveloped parcel owned by the church that would require curb, gutter, sidewalk, and also buffering. So, it would impact the current parking area of the church. So, we looked for alternatives and we discovered that if we did a boundary line adjustment plat rather than a subdivision plat, we could avoid the need for those type of improvements, which would be of limited benefit in this situation because it's to an undeveloped parcel that also happens to be owned by the church. The church is receptive to this concept. In fact, they have requested that the county vacate all their lot lines. They own three parcels. So rather than owning three parcels, they would like to own just one and convey a small parcel to the county. So, there's a proposed purchase agreement amendment whereby rather than a subdivision plat, the county would complete the preparation, or a consultant would complete the preparation of a boundary line adjustment plat. We think that we can accomplish this within the initial, well, not the initial, but the feasibility period that was provided in that purchase agreement that was executed in April, allowed a

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

feasibility period through August 19th. But in the proposed amendment, there's a very slight extension that can be provided for if necessary through the end of the month. So, I'm requesting authority from the board to authorize Mr. Miller to execute a purchase agreement amendment as presented in the agenda packet.

**0:27:52.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** Do we have any questions for Ms. Lackey about this? Go ahead, Ms. Cupka.

**0:27:58.3 Ann Cupka:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ms. Lackey, you mentioned a conveyance of a small additional piece of property to the county. Is that no addition? Is that?

**0:28:14.2 Kelly Lackey:** No, if I said I misspoke. It's just that the three, the slightly larger than three-acre site is the county acquisition.

**0:28:20.4 Ann Cupka:** Okay.

**0:28:20.7 Kelly Lackey:** And the church would retain the residual property, but the lot lines between those properties would be vacated.

**0:28:28.0 Ann Cupka:** Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.

**0:28:32.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any other questions? Go ahead.

**0:28:33.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman. Thank you. Ms. Lackey, what is the status of the 21st amendment to the landfill?

**0:28:44.1 Kelly Lackey:** Mr. Miller and I had scheduled a meeting with Waste Management, which was canceled at the last minute by Waste Management due to a medical emergency. And we have a meeting scheduled at the end of this week.

**0:28:57.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, at that meeting, are they going to? You asked for stuff money, and they're going to either tell you or not tell you or give you a different number. Is that correct? Is that what that meeting is about?

**0:29:14.2 Kelly Lackey:** We're still in negotiations. We had our last sit-down negotiation in June, I believe. And then, as I said, we had a second meeting scheduled and it unfortunately fell through. So, we're still continuing the negotiation process to bring something forward for the board to act on.

**0:29:33.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Could you keep me a little more advised of that? I've been following that. And also, I'm on the Landfill Advisory Committee. I'd like to have some background knowledge as we move forward with that.

**0:29:48.5 Kelly Lackey:** Sure, I can report back after this next meeting. There have not been any significant drafting changes. We're going through negotiation points and concepts. So there have

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

*not been any drafting changes that I can think of since it was presented to the Landfill Advisory Committee. But I can certainly report after the meeting later this week.*

**0:30:10.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Thank you.*

**0:30:13.0 Ann Cupka:** *Mr. Chair, I have a motion.*

**0:30:14.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Please.*

**0:30:16.5 Ann Cupka:** *I move to direct the county administrator to execute the first amendment to the real estate purchase agreement with Oakland Baptist Church as presented.*

**0:30:27.5 Cathy Binder:** *Second.*

**0:30:28.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.*

**0:30:31.6 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**0:30:31.7 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**0:30:32.2 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**0:30:32.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**0:30:32.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Were there any other questions for Ms. Lackey? Ms. Lackey, did you have any other business to bring to the board?*

**0:30:43.1 Kelly Lackey:** *Nothing further. Thank you.*

### **Public Hearing for Access Easement Requested for Residential Property Fronting Potomac Drive**

**0:30:44.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Thank you, Ms. Lackey. In that case, we will move on to the public hearing for access easement requested for residential property fronting Potomac Drive. So, the supervisors will now hold a public hearing that has been properly advertised for the proposed conveyance of easement for access to residential property fronting Potomac Drive. We'll first ask for a staff report, and we'll open the floor for public comment. Public comment is limited to three minutes per speaker in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Please state your name and address before you comment. Upon completion of public comment, we'll bring the matter back to the board for consideration. Board may or may not take action tonight depending on the information received during public comment. So, we will move on to the staff report for the public hearing.*

**0:31:30.7 Kelly Lackey:** *So as presented at the last meeting, there was a request that originated, I*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

believe, from the realtor of a residential property at 5080 Potomac Drive. That property has an existing driveway that passes through two private parcels of property. One of those parcels is owned by a number of heirs. I think they number 20-some heirs at this point in time, so it's very difficult to get consent of that many people to something even as hopefully simple as resolving where a driveway is located. So, a request was made to the county, to Mr. Miller and me, to request that the board consider granting an easement to this property. The property is adjoining a county owned parcel, which the county has owned since 1986. It's undeveloped and it has a 20-foot access road that is adjoined by a few residential properties, including this one. Since the initial request was made, the property was sold. So, there's a new property owner within just the past couple weeks, but my understanding through the closing attorney is that there's still interest in establishing this alternative access point. Mr. Pancotti presented at the last meeting, he was asked about community development considerations for this request and community development, I wouldn't say they're necessarily pro or against, but they say that they have no objection because the relocation of the driveway would help bring a non-conforming access closer to conformance with what are current access requirements. There is a proposed deed of easement in your agenda packet, which would result in a non-exclusive easement. That means that it could potentially be granted to other similarly situated parties in the future if the board so chose. It would require private maintenance by this property owner until such time as the county accepts maintenance. So, if the county were to develop the parcel or sell the parcel to a new owner who wanted to develop it and wanted to build a shared access way, I think that currently would be gravel. So, if it was ever paved in the future, there might be maintenance, but only if it was paved at the request or by the county. And it would also allow the county to install utilities. If we ever developed that site, we'd need to install utilities in the road. And a 3/4 majority vote of all supervisors is as required by the Virginia Constitution in order to grant a conveyance of public property. That's all I have.

**0:34:12.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you. Ms. Lackey. Do we have any questions?

**0:34:15.6 Cathy Binder:** Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr. Chair. One of my questions is the liability on the property. If something were to happen, we grant the easement, and something happened by a somebody coming to visit the person or somebody who lived on the property. What is the county's liability since they're using the road that technically is owned by the county?

**0:34:33.8 Kelly Lackey:** So, that's a good question. So, I did put in an indemnification provision in the easement agreement as well, so that nothing like that is ironclad. That doesn't mean someone couldn't sue the county, but we would have recourse that the person who would be the holder of the easement is responsible for the maintenance.

**0:34:50.2 Cathy Binder:** Alright, thank you.

**0:34:51.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Mr. Stonehill.

**0:34:54.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Like it brought up last time, one constituent that has part of that property over there, their concern was that if we do this, is it going to make any type of hardship for the folks that are using it right now? Are they going to have to have any specific driveways? You mentioned maintenance by who? Is it all the residents or just the requester that's asking for that

piece? So, are there going to be any effects on the folks that are using that driveway now?

**0:35:26.7 Kelly Lackey:** So, the current, if this easement is granted, this would be the only person who has been directly given permission by the board to use that access point, but it's non-exclusive. So, if anyone else wanted to come forward and make the same request, the board could consider on the same terms, granting a legal point of access.

**0:35:49.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Because his concern was, there's a bunch of families, obviously, like you said, that own this property and they've been using this driveway for eons since cars showed up. But if we grant access just to one person now, is that going to create that each one of those people that are using it now and have been using it for a long time, they have to come in front of the board to ask permission to use it?

**0:36:18.7 Kelly Lackey:** So, it sounds like if there's any kind of use going on, it has not been formally approved by this board. So that's not to say that the board would do anything to restrict access, but this proposed deed of easement as written is specific to the one property owner.

**0:36:46.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** I'm not really interested. I'd have to get some more information on that, I think. I mean, if we're only granting access to this one person that has this paperwork here, that's certainly going to affect all the other folks that have been using that driveway.

**0:37:04.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Mr. Collins?

**0:37:07.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, the person making request already has a driveway. Correct?

**0:37:15.1 Kelly Lackey:** So, as I understand it, there's an existing driveway, however, when they did the title search for transfer of the property, they could not find that it is a confirmed through the deed records to be a legal point of access, so that they could encounter issues. And we call that a landlocked property if there's no legal point of entrance to the property.

**0:37:39.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, an easement that's owned by the county would be a slippery slope to allow one person to use it. And then down the road how many people will want to use it and what will we be on the hook for. It doesn't sound like it's a good government decision to allow an easement on government property unless it's eminent domain where you don't have a choice.

**0:38:20.7 Kelly Lackey:** So that's the policy decision before this board. I drafted it so specific to this one property because I only received the request from the one property owner. And because I did not anticipate that there would be interest in the county maintaining access for multiple property owners. So, the easement is drafted for one specific property owner, but it could be granted to others, but the users of the easement would be responsible for the maintenance and not the county.

**0:38:50.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, the real estate agent that was trying to sell this

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

property had problems with the 20 heirs. So, they want to come to the county and us solve the problem and say, yeah, you can use that easement to get to this piece of property instead of figuring out something with the 20 heirs.

**0:39:11.8 Kelly Lackey:** Correct, they have other legal recourse should they choose to pursue it.

**0:39:16.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Could I make a motion, Mr. Chair?

**0:39:19.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** No, you may not. We need to have our public comment first.

**0:39:23.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Thank you.

**0:39:23.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** Of course. So, I will open the floor for public comment now for this public hearing. So, if anyone would like to come and speak about this particular public hearing, the floor is open. All right, Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online who would like to speak?

**0:39:52.4 Mr. Dines:** No, Mr. Chairman.

**0:39:53.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** Did anyone receive correspondence about this to be entered into the record? Okay, in that case, I will close public comment for the public hearing. And at that point, yes, if you would like to make a motion, Mr. Collins, you're welcome to.

**0:40:07.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** I'd like to make a motion to deny access easement for the requested residential property fronting Potomac Landing Drive.

**0:40:20.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have a motion. Does anyone want a second? Okay, the motion fails. We certainly don't have to make a decision tonight. I know Mr. Stonehill seemed to be still concerned with it as well, so.

**0:40:40.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** I am not. Unless this is kind of like the old country farm road that people have been using for eons, for generations and generations. Is it legal? Maybe it's not exactly legal because it is county property, but it's been going on for so long that I really feel bad to say that I'm going to grant it for one person, but the rest of those folks, you can't use it. And if you are going to use it, you're going to have to come to the board and ask permission to use it. So, I am not in favor of the way that it's written right now. If it's going to have access to this one piece of property, I really feel that it has to have access, all those pieces of property have to have access to it.

**0:41:26.7 Cathy Binder:** Mr. Chair, thinking about this, where I have a problem with this is, we're granting one person and as Mr. Stonehill said, a lot of people have been using that for a very long time. And I could see possibly the new owner saying, "Now only I can use it." And what are we saying to the citizens that have been using it for a very long time? In King George, a lot of things have been done the old-fashioned way, a handshake agreement. It just seems without including those other property owners, giving them the same chance to have an easement doesn't seem right.

**0:42:03.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**0:42:07.8 Cathy Binder:** *So, with that, I'm going to try one more time because I'm thinking about it and I should have seconded Mr. Collins motion. I'll make the motion again to deny the request.*

**0:42:16.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Second.*

**0:42:17.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *All right, we have a motion properly seconded to deny the request. Do we have any further discussion?*

**0:42:21.8 Ann Cupka:** *Yes, Mr. Chair. Could we clarify the motion? I believe it was imperfect in that it identified the street as Potomac Landing Drive and that is not the street in question.*

**0:42:36.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *I'm sorry.*

**0:42:40.0 Cathy Binder:** *I'll make amendments. What is the exact name? Okay, I'll change it to Potomac Drive to deny the request for the access easement requested fronting Potomac Drive.*

**0:42:54.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Second.*

**0:42:54.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *All right, we have a motion properly seconded to amend the agenda. Do we have any further discussion? So, this is to disapprove. So, all those in favor of disapproval say aye.*

**0:43:08.3 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**0:43:08.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**0:43:09.2 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**0:43:10.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**0:43:10.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed, Chair votes aye. Motion carries. The request has been disapproved. Thank you. We'll move on to Davenport Financial Presentations. Mr. David Rose.*

## **Presentations and Reports:**

### **Davenport Financial Presentation, Mr. David Rose, and Austin Sacks.**

**0:43:34.5 David Rose:** *Okay. Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the board. David Rose here and one of my colleagues, Austin Sacks. As I know, you're used to seeing my other partner, Kyle Laux, who's on vacation. So, you've got me tonight. So, I apologize in advance, but it is good to be back. Austin and I will go through this this evening and by all means, any questions, or concerns, just stop me and I'll be more than happy to try to answer your questions. Again, David Rose and we are representing Davenport as your financial advisor. So, if we could, just a little background. We've had the good fortune of serving as financial advisor to King George County. I started working with*



King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

you all and going back to about 1986. So, it's been a while. Kyle has been with me now 20 years and so brought him in and we again, appreciate you letting us do this and give us that opportunity. Back in June of this year, when Kyle was here, he presented a capital planning analysis to you that included two primary projects, the Fire & Rescue facilities, and a Pre-School requirement. And I'm going to address that tonight in terms of looking at that affordability wise and a timetable should you decide to move forward. So, with all that being said, we reviewed the county's debt profile. We looked at funding approaches to this project, and again, as your advisor, we're indifferent as it relates to what you do. We just want to see you do what makes the most sense. So, it doesn't matter to us. It's whatever, again, meets all of your goals and objectives. And some of those include minimizing the impact on the cash flows and the overall debt structure, and making sure that there are ample revenues to pay for the project, starting in the '24 budget and beyond. So, we've also put in a timetable for the next steps. So, what we're talking about tonight and what we've been talking about are projects that preliminarily tally \$42 million. And that is, as you can see here, \$14 million allocable to Fire & Rescue, and then 28 million to the Pre-School.

**0:46:24.0 David Rose:** Now, we've pointed out back in June when we were here on the 8th, and Kyle, particularly with Austin, that these are preliminary order of magnitude. And you'll also see that some of the assumptions that we've made in terms of debt service are equally preliminary, but we've tried to put it in a way that we've done in the past so that if there are some surprises, we're able to accommodate those and adjust accordingly. So, what are our proposed funding goals and objectives? First and foremost, to make sure that the hard-earned interest and ratings that you have remain that way. So do no harm if you would. For those of you in front of me as well as behind me, the county enjoys very strong credit ratings from the three national credit rating agencies. And in fact, in one instance we're just a notch away from AAA, and the other two we're just two notches away from AAA. So again, over the years we've moved upward, and again, we want to make sure we continue to be in that mode.

**0:47:35.0 David Rose:** And the second goal and objective, is to make sure that we ensure compliance with all the county adopted financial policies. Those are your self-adopted policies that we've evolved with over the years. We've strengthened them over the years. And as a result of that, it's one reason why you have such good credit ratings is because you followed those credit ratings by following these policies. The third thing, as I said there, is to try to make sure that we borrow this money in phases. And the reason for that is to the extent that the projects are lower than the 42 million, we have not over-borrowed to the extent that they are greater than that 42 million, we can adjust accordingly, that second phase of borrowing that we're going to talk about. Again, the other points here, I think this is an important one. We want to make sure that we don't borrow all of it right now because there is the possibility for grant funding. And if we get grant funding, we don't want to over-borrow, over-issue, if you would. So, we've set that up. Now, I cannot give you a commentary on what the likelihood of grant funding is, but again, we just want to make sure we're flexible in that regard. In addition, because the county is so strongly rated, we think that it's very possible that we may not even need to put collateral onto this borrowing. We've come a long way here in the county. There was a time when we had to put considerable collateral on anything we wanted to do, but because of your strong ratings and because the recommendation we're going to make for what we call a public sale, which we have done before with you, we think it's possible, not absolute, that we can avoid having locked up or tied up any of the properties tied to the county. And

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

that would just be, again, a flexibility for you in future. So, we want to try to do that as well. We think that's possible.

**0:49:44.7 David Rose:** Finally, last couple points. We want to make sure we maintain the county's strong reserve levels. That's one of your great strengths. And of course, the Treasurer pointed out your fund balances. And again, one of those reasons why we have such good credit ratings is because you've had and adhere to strong financial policies, including the reserves that are available there. And I would point out to the citizens that with those reserves, and again, as the Treasurer might point out, you're earning interest on that money, which further brings down the pressure on the general funds. So those monies are indeed being utilized for the benefit of the county, for your annual budgets. So again, it's an important use of those monies. It also allows us to, again, be as competitive as possible with the credit rating agencies and investors because they like to make sure that a county has some reserves in unforeseen circumstances that some bad things happen that we're not expecting. And then finally, we want to make sure that we maintain what we call best practices. So, with that said, I'd like to turn it over to Austin to talk for a few moments and I'll come back and wrap this up. Okay, right there.

**0:51:10.8 Austin Sacks:** Good evening to the board. My name's Austin Sacks and I work closely with Mr. Rose and Kyle. I'm just here to give some perspective on interest rates. They are always a key topic that gets brought up. And on this page, you're going to see on the left-hand side, 40 years of long-term tax-exempt interest rates. And that's important because we, meaning the county, will be borrowing over the long term and more importantly, on a tax-exempt basis. And as you can see outside of the 40-year lows we saw due to COVID in 2020 and 2021, we're basically in a competitive and favorable interest rate environment on the borrowing side and so, if we flip to the next page, we've got what we have Virginia SNAP rates, and so this is going to be for the investment of tax-exempt bond proceeds. And so, this is closer to what you'll see in the headlines and making the news, and that's going to be your short-term interest rates, that'll move more in step with Fed policy and short-term interest rates, and we can see that these are closer to 5%. And so, we're in a very interesting interest rate environment where we're able to borrow money on the long-term at or below what we can be earning on invested funds. And so, if we flip to the next page, we'll talk about the specific proposed funding approach. And so, we're recommending like Mr. Rose said a Public Facility Revenue Bond issuance, and that's a fancy public finance term for a credit structure that's ultimately the county's credit. And so, that would be the moral obligation subject to appropriation security of the county. And if we go to the second bullet, we're proposing that we do this through the public credit markets where we would offer our bonds to public investors, and we last use this for the initial funding for the courthouse. And if you remember last year, we came back and used a bank loan approach for the completion funding for that. As Mr. Rose said, given the county's very strong credit ratings, which speak for themselves, we're thinking there will be minimal to almost no requirement for the pledging of collateral, which is an added benefit of the public credit markets. And the last bullet there is just a specific tax structure of this financing where we'd use the EDA as a conduit issuer, but the County would make the debt service payments. And if we flip the page, we can talk through the actual phases of the funding. And so, what we're proposing is taking that \$42 million and breaking it into two, even \$21 million financings. The first one will, Mr. Rose will lay out a more detailed time schedule towards the end, but we're looking to borrow those funds, have them in hand by calendar year end, which we're in FY24 and then borrow that second

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

tranche of money in the FY26 timeframe, which as you know, starts July 1, 2025. So, there's basically an 18-month time period from this first borrowing, where we're hoping this 21 million will get us through that 18-month time period and we can borrow the balance as a necessary depending on grants or state funding or what other opportunities may present themselves. The third bullet there is speaking to the financing documents, the funds that we will borrow will not be for a specific project, they will be for general government capital. So, we're not tying these funds specifically to one individual project. So, as invoices come in POs for each specific project will be able to pay those as they come. And the last bullet and set of bullets is the time period that roughly 18 months, we'll be able to work through finalizing the costs from these preliminary estimates, whether they go down, go up and adjust as accordingly. And the last bullet there, talking about potential outside funding sources which we can use to potentially decrease that second borrowing size to the extent we are awarded any of those. And then I'll pass it on to Mr. Rose to talk through the last few pages. Thank you.

**0:55:51.3 David Rose:** Thank you, Austin. I'll stop for a second, Mr. Chairman, any questions that anyone may have at this point?

**0:56:01.8 Cathy Binder:** Thank you very much, I see you again in a short amount of time. I'm going to ask you a question after I ask Mr. Miller, do we have when we're going to get the study for the Pre-School back?

**0:56:15.1 Chris Miller:** I do not have that answer. I know that when we started looking at things, I believe it was like a 45 to 60-day process. Dr. Boyd, do you have a sense? I know that you were working with them a little bit more on some other parts of it. But I can get that answer for you tomorrow, I'm sorry, I don't have that right now.

**0:56:36.2 Cathy Binder:** All right. Thank you.

**0:56:37.2 Chris Miller:** I know they're working on it.

**0:56:38.5 Cathy Binder:** Well, I bring it up because I like seeing the flexibility, so if it was feasible to have the design to possibly add the school board office with it that in the preliminary design of laying down where a fourth elementary school, I like to see that it's flexible, so it's not tied to one project, so I appreciate that. And that's why I asked about the study.

**0:57:00.4 David Rose:** It very much is flexible that way, so again, it's purposely set up that way. Purposely set up that way. Yes, Mr. Collins.

**0:57:08.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Rose, good to see you again.

**0:57:10.4 David Rose:** Likewise.

**0:57:11.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, if I read correctly, the rate for government borrowing is around 4% at this time, right?

**0:57:19.9 David Rose:** Yes, sir.

**0:57:21.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** And so, if you were to borrow the second \$21 million, it's an unknown factor, right?

**0:57:29.2 David Rose:** Yes, sir.

**0:57:30.5 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, there's no way to lock it in?

**0:57:32.9 David Rose:** Well, there is. But again, I think from our perspective, interest rates could go lower, yes, they could go higher. But at the same time, again, there may be some other grant monies, there may be some other ways to help mitigate some of that. The other thing too is when, let's assume in the worst-case situation that it's now 18 months later, we borrowed this money and now it's time that we needed another 21 or so million. If the marketplace is not favorable, for example, we certainly have the ability to borrow on a short-term basis, with the thinking that maybe it gets better a year or two later. So, we have that flexibility. In fact, for a long time, that was the case for a lot of the local governments, we would be borrowing on a shorter-term basis, we might borrow as we call them on those days, bond anticipation notes. So, I think there are some techniques and opportunities for us to do that.

**0:58:37.3 David Rose:** But I do think that doing it in two phases gives us the ability, again, maybe there's some grants, maybe rates come down a little bit. We've also factored in that short of the market, moving strongly against us in the next few months as we look to go to the marketplace. I have put it in here, and you're going to see in a few moments, I've assumed an interest cost of 5%. Now we are seeing lower than that. As you said, Mr. Collins, we're looking at pretty much a whole percentage point lower than that. So, if we can build that cushion in and get closer to 4%, then that allows us, if you would, to have that next 21 million to be upwards of 6% and still average, let's say a 5% cost of money. So, we've tried to do that. Again, could we borrow some more right now? Yes. But this just sort of felt better in terms of just not overdoing it, but at the same time, not just capitulating and kicking the can. So, it felt right.

**0:59:43.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Right. I understand what you're talking about. But the \$42 million, and I'm not sure if that's a realistic number. Fire & Rescue would probably be about 19 million and God knows what the Pre-School will be because of construction costs. So, is there any reason why we're locked in at 42 million? What was the reasoning in that?

**1:00:06.6 David Rose:** I'll defer to Mr. Miller and superintendent.

**1:00:12.0 Chris Miller:** So, Mr. Collins, the 14 million is the estimate that that Chief Moody and Mr. Quesenberry are, and that was approved in your capital improvements plan. We've got the debt service already in the operating budget if that happens this year. I would have to ask Chief Moody if he's getting a sense that that's going to, number's going to jump up, but I believe he feels that's a pretty strong number. The 28 million for the Pre-School is based on the information that we got back from Moseley on their initial work with Dr. Boyd and his team. And so, I think there's a feeling that, that number, unless you add other things, that that number's pretty good too. So, unless you

*start adding other things, then that's where you're going to obviously have different costs.*

**1:01:09.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So, in the year and a half I've been on the board, there's nothing that's ever come in at the number that started out. Everything has come up higher.*

**1:01:20.3 Chris Miller:** *I agree with you. Yes, sir. I agree with you.*

**1:01:21.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So that makes people upset. So why didn't you borrow enough money?*

**1:01:26.9 David Rose:** *I may want to add one more thing.*

**1:01:30.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Yes sir. Please.*

**1:01:31.2 David Rose:** *So, let's assume that the undesirable happens and that is that 42 million is not sufficient. So, if we do the two phases that we're recommending, and let's say it comes in materially above that next \$21 million tranche, but that allows us, and I think this page is a good one, that allows us the ability to do some more financial engineering, if you would, some cashflow structuring for that next phase, so that we can then incorporate that. Because if you look at right here, this is your debt profile, and I think this is a good healthy discussion because what you'll notice is from now up until basically the next decade, debt service is pretty much level. However, it drops down considerably after that period of time. So, if indeed we find that we get that nasty surprise that is, that we've got to do more than another \$21 million. I think there is a pathway there to be able to layer that in and not cause a dramatic difference, in the debt service. Now, it's immense, the matter is how much additional debt there is, but really all we can do for the moment is go by experts that are telling us these are reasonable costs. We do work with Moseley, and I have, for decades, know them very well. I think if there is a saving grace on this, it is that we are now in a mode where they know about supply chain issues, they know about surprises as it relates to capital and access to construction and what so happens. So, I do, I want to believe that 28 million is credible and has been battle tested, but only time will tell. But I do think the way I've always approached this, and the way I've tried to get Kyle to see this is to not assume that it's going to be 42 million, but to assume that if we get a bad surprise, we'll be able to adjust to it within reason. And that's sort of the thinking that we've got here.*

**1:03:50.1 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So, the courthouse, within a year and a half time went up \$10 million.*

**1:03:55.9 David Rose:** *Right.*

**1:03:56.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So, I mean, you could be in the same situation. So, both of these projects need to be done now. So, you have 2026, and as the second borrow, they should be already under construction.*

**1:04:14.0 David Rose:** *They will be. So, maybe I'm not being clear and that's my fault. What we're anticipating is to move forward very quickly on both these projects. But as you know, it just takes a*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

certain period of time to spend that \$42 million. So, all we're really saying is let's go now, let's get it going, let's get it started. But that second tranche should be tied to as we need that next dollop of money if you would. We're not slowing anything down. We're not waiting and saying, okay, we've done half the project, now let's go and do some other things. No, this is just trying to take cash flow management and do those kinds of things. But once you say yes, if you say yes to these projects, it's full steam ahead on both of those. And I think Mr. Miller would underscore that. So, I want you to know that's, it's a go. It's not half-baked.

**1:05:12.5 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Just to one more redirect.

**1:05:14.1 David Rose:** Yes, sir.

**1:05:15.5 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, Fire & Rescue, if you had that number of 14 million, that was an accurate number, they could complete that in less than 12 months, including engineering. And then, so you only have \$7 million to start this Pre-School.

**1:05:34.3 David Rose:** Correct.

**1:05:34.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So why wouldn't the first round be higher?

**1:05:40.8 David Rose:** Again, I think when you look at it, by the time we borrow this money, let's assume, and you'll see the time schedule, basically it's effectively the end of the calendar year and the way that cash flows work in terms of that expenditure out for the 14 million, it will not be that quick. It will not be 12 months. But to your point, if somehow that gets in effect sped up, that's not a problem. We will just then go more expeditiously to the next 21 million. So, I don't want you to worry about that. We will make sure, and we'll monitor that with staff so that if indeed, rather than what Austin said as our plan if you go back for a moment and say, fine, we're thinking beginning in July of 2025, which is basically, again, essentially two years from now, if we need to borrow that money shorter, we can do that. That will not be a problem. But you'll know, we'll all know within the next six months, nine months how things are really moving. So again, that's the, I think the positive here. And it's no different if you think about it, when that project that you alluded to, yes, the courthouse went up in price. We didn't slow down the actual construction of it. We just said, fine, we need some additional dollars and let's go obtain those dollars. But we didn't have to slow the project down. Again, anything that you want to add Mr. Miller.

**1:07:13.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, it took about 18 years to start the courthouse and it's taken about 35 years to start the Pre-School. I don't know if you've ever seen that building, but it should have been gone 35 years ago. So, I mean there are critical needs. And so, as favorable rates, my view is you would be a little bit higher on the first round, but I understand your explanation. And I will not belabor that point anymore, but we need to move forward fast and fairly with good rates.

**1:07:56.1 David Rose:** Well, that's our goal. Yes.

**1:08:01.5 Chris Miller:** If I could also just add, so we are obviously waiting for a report back from

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

Moseley. Once we get that, that will be factored into what Davenport is going to do. The other aspect of this obviously is what Davenport is looking for tonight is the direction, and it does not. I don't know if it has to be in the form of a motion or anything like that, but just a direction to keep moving this forward. And obviously the critical part of changing it, adding to it, will come. Because you'll have to obviously approve resolutions and you'll have to approve ordinances and things like that, that tie this money down. And so, if this thing has to change in the next couple of months, we certainly will be coming back to you and talking about that. But I mean, our goal is to keep this moving because we understand the direction of the board is to move this project. It still could change a little bit when we come back to you again at the end of the process. Would you agree?

**1:09:07.8 David Rose:** Absolutely, Mr. Miller. Absolutely.

**1:09:10.8 Cathy Binder:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Miller, you might be able to say it, I like your approach of what you've done here because we have a lot of opportunities to look for grants and not only for the schools, but also for Chief Moody and his staff who've put together some grants that might come to fruition. So, I really do appreciate this approach because it's being smart about it because there are a lot of things at play.

**1:09:39.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, let's say that Fire & Rescue gets a grant of whatever, \$14 million and you get to \$21 million, that'll all go to the school, right? Is that how that works?

**1:09:49.6 David Rose:** Yes sir.

**1:09:50.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Okay.

**1:09:52.6 David Rose:** I hope you're correct on that one. I would enjoy amending my presentation. So let me just move on. I don't have too much more to go, but I do want to show you all that when we look at adding debt, we think of it in a variety of ways. And one of those is some of your self-imposed policies. And those red lines are the lines we do not want to cross. And that is your, again, your self-imposed guidelines. And you'll notice here on the left-hand side and the right-hand side we've got two policies that you've adopted and evolved with over the years. One of those, the left-hand side, I like to call it your credit card saying how many dollars in a given year goes to debt service. Think of it that way and or the mortgage. And what you'll find is that the yellow is all that proposed debt, that 42 million, it's layered on top of the other debts that you've already issued. And what you'll notice here, even with very modest growth assumptions on the denominator of expenditures, we don't have any spikes. We're staying pretty levelized. It goes to my point that if indeed we have a surprise, more than likely we are still going to be within our policies, we're still going to be able to accommodate that. So that's one of the things that I care a lot about on your behalf. And also, one of those things that the rating agencies look at to say, if you're going to layer this in, are you going to bust your budget? And the answer is no. So, I want to point that out for both of these. So that's another sort of commentary on how we think about this. But then let's get to this page. This really is the last page before a schedule, and that is that I have factored in with my colleagues a \$42 million cost of funds at 5% final maturity out there, at 30 years. And then what we've shown here is where the rubber meets the road. And what we're saying is that all things being equal, we will need the equivalent of six pennies over the course of, not just the next six years, but a

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

little bit beyond that. So the first time we need the equivalent of extra dollars from you is when you do the FY26 budget. So that's, so here we are, we've just started FY24. Next year, you'll be talking about the '25 budget, but the year after, when you go into the '26 budget, all things being equal, if it costs us 5% as opposed to what I'm hopeful is 4%, and depending upon growth and what a penny is worth, we're going to need the equivalent of two pennies. Now, then we set it up so that over those next three years, we need the equivalent of the six pennies. But as you know, last year you had your revaluation, and it gets reset. This ties into the 2026 year when you have your next revaluation. So we will, as we've historically been fortunate to get in front of you on a year to year basis and talk about how things are tracking. So again, this looks to be on a worst-case basis that we will need the equivalent of two pennies. And that for of course, factors in all of the other debts you've already paid for, all those debts already baked into your existing '24 and beyond debt service. And excuse me, into the '24 and beyond budgets. So again, if all things being equal, if we hit these various targets and our growth is not as vibrant, our interest rates are at 5%. We're able to show that in 2027 fiscal year, we hit the worst, it still is below that 10% target. It's a little bit over 9%. So again, we like that. Similarly, that last bullet point, it's at 2.8%, it's below the three and a half. So for our perspective, we've got some room to play. We've got some wiggle room, if you would. And that wiggle room may be in part taken up by costs that are greater or other projects that you alluded to. So, we keep thinking about this because we know, and I've been here 35 years that, again, that 2028 timeframe, there'll be other projects that we're going to need to do. Whatever they are, I don't know. But inevitably we're going to be layering in some other projects. And so, we want to make sure we're not spiking, we're not broaching the policy. So hopefully that's helpful. Last point here is the schedule. If indeed, as, Mr. Miller said, we don't necessarily need a formal action, but I think clearly we want to see if there's that desire by the board, if a consensus, here we are tonight talking about it, we would be back with legal counsel to ask you to approve the financing documents as we've done before. That would occur as early as the September 5th meeting. We would then go through the Economic Development Authority, on the 14th. And again, we will make sure that we have them, all queued up with legal counsel to understand that they are really just a conduit. They have no financial liability. But here in Virginia we have that requirement to do these types of financing with a third-party conduit. Then if needed, you could see there, you've got your second meeting of the month. Our thinking is to talk with these rating agencies, early October, and get their feedback on Friday the 13th. I didn't put that date down, so I will change that for you. But seriously, around then, for those that are superstitious. But then at the end of the day, the goal here is we could close this and finance this and be done, well before Thanksgiving without any surprises. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, members of the board, I'm happy to answer any questions and appreciate being here again.

**1:16:45.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** Ms. Binder, did you have a question? Okay. Does anyone have any questions? Mr. Collins, do you have any other questions?

**1:16:56.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** I was just trying to figure out you're the schedule for your agenda. I'm sorry. I was just trying to figure out your schedule for your agenda.

**1:17:01.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** Okay.

**1:17:03.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Because you got a couple big things coming up for a public



King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

hearing, and I didn't really want this own that night, if possible, because it'll already be a heavy night, but I like the timing. I don't see August 15th on here.

**1:17:20.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** We'll take a look and add accordingly. So, there is a placeholder there as well for Board of Supervisors to be and a placeholder if needed. If something comes up and we need to shift a little bit, I'm sure we can.

**1:17:31.3 David Rose:** And I think, the only thought here, and that's fine, that's not going to hurt anything except to say that the Economic Development Authority meeting would then shift after this board acted.

**1:17:47.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** Yeah.

**1:17:47.9 David Rose:** It doesn't have to be that.

**1:17:48.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** And there's placeholder for another EDA meeting as well. It provides us with the opportunity if we need to because there is an extremely busy meeting that it would fall on. We can readdress it.

**1:18:00.4 David Rose:** And that would slow us down. That's fine.

**1:18:02.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Yeah.

**1:18:02.7 David Rose:** I mean, all these other things, we could still be in the same mode. So again, if you want us to move that, just let us know and we'll accommodate that. That's easy. Or both of them.

**1:18:12.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** We'll let you know. I don't think we have a definitive decision right now; I think we probably will be okay at the moment. But yeah, we'll let you know.

**1:18:21.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Well, I hope that we can meet that Friday, November 10th date.

**1:18:26.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** I agree. I would like to do that as well. Anyone else have any other comments or questions?

**1:18:32.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** I would like to move forward with this. Yes. Obviously, we need a new Pre-School, right? So, we need to find the funding for that as well. And it needs to be done as soon as possible. Ms. Binder?

**1:18:44.4 Cathy Binder:** Yes. I would just like to know or get an update of the study.

**1:18:49.4 Chris Miller:** Yes, ma'am.

**1:18:50.1 Cathy Binder:** Thank you.

**1:18:50.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Ms. Cupka.

**1:18:50.4 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, I move to direct staff to work with our financial advisors, Davenport, and our bond counsel, to bring financing documents back to the board for future consideration.

**1:19:04.5 Cathy Binder:** I will second.

**1:19:04.9 Chris Miller:** Alright, motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

**1:19:12.1 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**1:19:12.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:19:13.0 Ann Cupka:** Aye.

**1:19:13.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Aye.

**1:19:14.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

**1:19:15.2 David Rose:** Thank you Mr. Chairman. You all be well.

**1:19:17.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you, Mr. Rose. Thank you Mr. Sacks. Appreciate it. Chief, Ambulance fee for service.

### **Ambulance Fee for Service - Fee Structure – Chief Moody, Department of Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Services**

**1:19:31.0 Chief Moody:** Mr. Chairman, members of the board, good evening to you. I know you have a pretty heavy agenda tonight, so I'm going to be fairly quick. If at any time you have any questions, please stop me and I'll try to answer them. So, let me just preface before I get started. Most of the time, when I come up to this podium before you as a board, most of the occasions I'm looking to buy something or spend some money in some way. Tonight, I want to talk to you about maybe earning some additional money, adjusting some of our fee schedules. Talk to you about some policy decisions and talk to you also about some pros and cons about this. I am not looking for any policy decision tonight. I'm just giving you this information for you to think about and to put as a placeholder. So, I want to go through just a little bit of history. In 2007, we started our ambulance fee for service billing that comes in the form of the board adopting an ordinance back then. Since that time, we've had one rate increase, and that rate increase was in 2013. This January we saw the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, CMS, make the largest increase in history to the ambulance fee schedule at 8.7%. Due to the consumer insurance fees being significantly higher. These are your Anthem, your Cigna, your Kaiser Permanente, any type of third-party insurance billing vendors, the industry standard is to have your rate structure, 30 to 50% above that of what the CMS national rate structure is. So currently today we're at 16% above, and the

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

recommended. Again, the recommended rate structure is 30 to 50% above. Additionally, there have been some other changes in operational procedures and workflow within the county, and we believe that the practical application of our billing procedures and recommendations of our billing vendors, and I believe in your packet, you have a letter from our EMS billing vendor, EMS|MC, and they are writing to us saying essentially that national billing standards and practices are to be within that 30 to 50% above that of the Centers for Medicare Service rate. These changes would require some text amendments to our existing ordinance and our existing rules and regulations. And there are no recommended changes. Let me repeat that. There are no recommended changes to the ordinance rules or regulations that would impact the county's compassionate billing policy or soft billing practices. So currently, if you are a resident of the county, we take you to the hospital by an ambulance and your bill is \$800 and your insurance company pays, or Medicare pays \$500, then you are not responsible for that balance. Okay? That's the way our fee structure is established. If you know of anybody, if you have any constituents, we know that this has happened in error before in the past, if you know of any constituents that have paid a balance and they're a resident, please have them contact my office, immediately. Because that needs to be fixed. One of the recommendations we do have is for uninsured county residents. There would be a reduction in the liability from full liability of their bill to 25% liability. I didn't really like this policy in 2007, I still don't like it today. And that is where it stands right now today, is that if we balance right off if you have insurance, Medicare, or Medicaid. But if you don't have any insurance, then you're responsible 100% for the bill, unless you have to prove your financial documentation, provide that, fill out a hardship application, and then we have to review that and approve it. So, this would be one of our recommendations is to reduce it from full liability to 25% liability if you're a resident and you could still have the hardship at that 25% liability. Uninsured non-residents would continue to be billed the entire balance and so that's what we're currently doing and what's currently in our billing practices today. We're not seeking any change in that, and that also currently is that our threshold in which we make a decision of whether you have a hardship is the US Census most recent household median income. Okay? When we put this in place back in 2007, I want to say that the household median income in King George County was in the lower 60,000s. Today. It was 101,599. And so, we utilize that threshold to say if your household makes less than this, then you would qualify for a hardship not to pay the balance of your bill. And so I bring this to the board to say is this something that we want to continue with as a policy practice or is this something we want to possibly set at a certain threshold as the household median incomes are constantly increasing in the county. So, this is our rate structure throughout our region and this is where we are in King George and essentially we're kind of right in the middle. We're a little low on some of the basic life support and you can see we're in the middle of the advanced life support level one and then we're again in the middle of the advanced life support two and then our loaded mileage is \$12 per mile and that's from the time we pick the patient up to the miles that we drive to the nearest hospital. The recommendation would be to look at possibly going with the 40% above the Medicare rate. Again, the national standard and the industry practice is between 30 to 50%. That puts us in 5th, right in the middle of that category and then also increasing the loaded mileage from \$12 per mile to \$13 per mile. There's no secret that I come before you as a board in work sessions and say the fuel's going up, the prices of ambulances are getting more expensive, heart monitors are \$35,000 a piece, et cetera. We're also recommending and so is our billing company that we add a consumable supply charge of \$125 for basic life support transports and \$175 for advanced life support and also an oxygen fee of \$50. So just to give you some perspective for those consumable price charges and our budget that was

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

approved for FY24, we are projecting to spend roughly about \$87,000 in consumable price. In consumable medical products and equipment. Oxygen is, I looked at last year, we spent to our vendor I think of \$7,102 for our oxygen vendor to come and deliver the oxygen and to provide that on our ambulances. So this would help offset that fee. Our new rate structure that we would be recommending would be \$590 for BLS, \$700 for ALS 1, and \$1,015 for ALS 2 plus the additional down below. So just to give you a little bit of understanding of kind of the Payor mix and the calls, you can see a majority of our calls are in the ALS level 1. And these are patients that are having emergencies, but they may be life threatening but they don't reach the level that they fall into the ALS 2. The advanced life support level 2 is the most critical. These are where our paramedics have to do very advanced procedures and multiple procedures. Our payor mix is, you can see that most of our payors come from private insurance, with the second coming in at Medicare, the lowest Medicaid, and the 8% at self-pay. And I will tell you and being in this industry as long as I have, a lot of communities would be very envious of that payor mix, that your self-pay is less than 30% and that your Medicaid is less than 1%. Having most of it, private insurance and then followed by Medicare is a very good position for us. So, during this 12-month period, we transported... A little over 1,900 were residents, a little under 300 were non-residents of the county in a 12-month period. During that 12-month period, our gross charges were a little less than \$1.8 million. And you can see that 55% were payments that were made, 35% were contractual allowances, and these are where by our own policies, again, if you're a resident, you're not required for that balance billing. So those are in that 35%. 8% write-offs and then 2% were balance dues. We'll go through this real quick. So, if we elected to go with the 140%, and you can see if we went through each one, kind of a la carte, if you will, if we approved everything that we are recommending, we would go from, again, \$999,321 of revenue up to \$1,495,831. So you can see the differences in here on the right-hand column that, what it would make in each category. So that's a little more than about a penny on the tax rate of making those changes and differences. What I suspect, and I told you I'd share with you the pros and cons, right now, nobody else in the region is on this. Okay, they're not approaching their boards, or not having these discussions. I suspect that they will in the near future, and what I don't... Really would like, I guess, to do is to be known to have the highest rates in the region. However, right now, the entire region in our surrounding communities is outside of that 30% to 50% standard. And so, I would suspect between now and probably next budget year, we're going to see Stafford go up, we're going to see Spotsylvania up and so and so forth. I think it's some money that we're currently leaving on the table, and again, we're close to about a half a million dollars per year. So, happy to answer any questions.

**1:32:24.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you.

**1:32:28.5 Chief Moody:** I'm sorry, can I have one more thing. So, the next steps, if you decide to take would be, we will have to come back. I would be working with the county attorney and county administrator on amending our current ordinance, amending our rules and regulations, because it's an ordinance amendment, we of course have to go through the advertisement and public a hearing, and then we would certainly pick a day when that would go into effect as far as a new implementation of a fee structure.

**1:33:01.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Thank you, Chief. I really appreciate it. Does anyone have any questions for Chief about this? Mr. Stonehill.

**1:33:10.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Thanks for coming out, Chief. Appreciate it. How does a billing company get paid? What's the name of that company?*

**1:33:23.0 Chief Moody:** *EMS|MC, which stands for management and consultants, and we pay them based on... We pay them a percentage of what they are able to collect, and they pay us. And that's pretty much the industry standard with billing companies.*

**1:33:42.1 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *So, they get a certain percent of what they bill out?*

**1:33:45.8 Chief Moody:** *No. What they collect.*

**1:33:46.8 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *What do they collect?*

**1:33:48.1 Chief Moody:** *Correct.*

**1:33:48.6 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Okay, I'm just looking at these numbers. So, like in the insurance world, you go to the doctor and let's use easy numbers. And the doctor charges you \$1000, but your insurance company pays \$500, that other \$500 floating there. Where is that going? I know that if they bill you the nine, whatever, say it's \$1000 to a trip for the hospital ALS 2, and the insurance company is only going to pay \$500, where's the other \$500 going?*

**1:34:22.3 Chief Moody:** *It goes in a contractual write-off of that 35%.*

**1:34:25.4 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *So that's just the write-off?*

**1:34:26.5 Chief Moody:** *Correct.*

**1:34:28.8 Chief Moody:** *For a resident.*

**1:34:31.1 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *The billing company is only getting the \$500 that they're actually collecting from the insurance company?*

**1:34:39.4 Chief Moody:** *Yes, sir.*

**1:34:40.1 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *A percentage of that?*

**1:34:41.3 Chief Moody:** *Yes, sir.*

**1:34:42.4 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Okay, thank you.*

**1:34:48.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay, thanks Chief, I'll ask my colleague Ms. Cupka, did you have something you'd want to?*

**1:34:53.0 Ann Cupka:** *Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair, I move to direct staff to move forward with*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

*developing amendments to the ambulance fee for service ordinance and amendments to the ambulance fee for service rules and regulations for future consideration of a public hearing.*

**1:35:09.1 Cathy Binder:** *Second.*

**1:35:13.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any further discussion? And I'll ask if we could wait a moment for Mr. Collins to come back.*

**1:35:23.0 Cathy Binder:** *That's what I was just going to ask.*

**1:35:36.3 Chris Miller:** *If I may ask a question of Chief, I think you and I had, had the conversation that, it doesn't have to be an immediate effective date, it could be a date that you all set, is there some desire for a particular date as he works with the county attorney on the development of the ordinance or would you just rather us get that first taken care of and then come back and let you have an idea, because it could go into effect the next budget year, what would be July 1 of '24 or it could go into effect January 1 of '24, or it could go into effect October 1 of this year, so it's up to the board to make that decision.*

**1:36:20.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So, I imagine that you would come back with the proposed ordinances and then we'd make a motion to advertise for a public hearing. At that point, could we provide that recommendation, I would hope that it wouldn't be overly complex to make a change for an effective date, but it gives all the time to think about it if that's okay.*

**1:36:40.5 Chief Moody:** *Yes, sir.*

**1:36:43.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay. Are my colleagues amenable to that? Does anyone have strong feelings about a date right now?*

**1:36:45.7 Cathy Binder:** *The only thing I would ask, can we read the motion again?*

**1:36:48.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Sure.*

**1:36:48.8 Cathy Binder:** *Just for Mr. Collins.*

**1:36:49.2 Ann Cupka:** *Yes. I moved to direct staff to develop amendments to the ambulance fee for service ordinance and the amendments to the ambulance fee for service rules and regulations for future consideration of a public hearing.*

**1:37:03.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So that was motion made and then seconded. And so that's where we are at. So, we're at any discussion, so if there's any further discussion? All in favor say aye.*

**1:37:16.3 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**1:37:16.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**1:37:16.3 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:37:16.3 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**1:37:19.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any oppose? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you, Chief.

**1:37:21.9 Chief Moody:** Thank you.

**1:37:26.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** Okay. Action items. Mr. Miller.

### **Actions Items:**

#### **County Administrator: VDOT Plan and Profile of Proposed State Highway, Route 3 & Route 301 U-Turn and Route 301 & Market Center, Mr. Christopher Miller**

**1:37:30.5 Chris Miller:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, in your packet is the VDOT proposed state highway Route 3 and 301 U-turn and route 301 in Market Center project. These were approved back in 2018, and really all we need is a motion of support, is that correct, Mr. Minor, as you understand.

**1:38:00.0 Nick Minor:** Yes sir.

**1:38:00.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** Okay, do we have any questions?

**1:38:02.8 Cathy Binder:** Yes, sir. I do.

**1:38:03.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** Yes, Mrs. Binder.

**1:38:04.9 Cathy Binder:** I have a question based on the development that has happened down in the Market Center area, and this is answering for a constituent who has asked me, has there been any planning for the change? Like Chick-fil-A is an example.

**1:38:19.9 Nick Minor:** Yes, ma'am. Our Community Development is a better department to ask that question. But I have heard that Chick-fil-A was consulted with this decision and was made aware. And their development plans are centered around what they're doing with these changes to this intersection. But please do not hold me to that. Again, that's a better question to ask Community Development.

**1:38:44.1 Chris Miller:** We can find that out, but also I will point out that Ms. Cook is also in the audience who handles these things for us and has been in contact with VDOT. You might have something to add.

**1:39:01.4 Kim Cook:** I don't have anything to add to that. It is my understanding though, that as they developed their project, that application and what was approved was part of their plan.

**1:39:15.6 Ann Cupka:** *Mr. Chairman, may I add to that? I had firsthand discussion with Mr. David Beale, the resident engineer of VDOT, and I asked him the very question, were they involved in meetings, were they aware of these impending projects or that project that would impact their plans? And the answer was yes. And I would also add that, I developed these applications back in 2018 and I used as attachments, the economic development sites that have since been developed upon and that helped strengthen our application because part of how we get more weight to our projects or more points is economic development and the other aspect is safety. Thank you.*

**1:40:11.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any other questions? Okay, I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.*

**1:40:18.4 Ann Cupka:** *Mr. Chair, I move to direct staff to draft and provide a letter of concurrence regarding the design intent and major features of the projects from the 2020 Smart Scale approved applications.*

**1:40:33.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Second.*

**1:40:34.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any further discussion? All in favor say Aye.*

**1:40:41.7 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**1:40:41.8 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**1:40:41.9 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**1:40:41.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye*

**1:40:42.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Mr. Miller.*

### **County Administrator: Letter of Support for the Trust for Public Land's Grant Application for \$1 million in Funds from the Virginia Land Conservation Foundation, Mr. Christopher Miller**

**1:40:48.3 Chris Miller:** *Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you recall, Ms. Cupka, supervisor Cupka had requested that this be put on the agenda, my only part is to just introduce it. It's associated with the development that's tied to Lake Caledon or associated with it. And I believe Ms. Cupka will provide you with an overview.*

**1:41:15.1 Ann Cupka:** *Thank you Mr. Miller. Thank you Mr. Chairman for allowing this to be heard this evening. So, what we're looking for here is a letter of support from the board for the Trust for Public Land to submit a grant application in the amount of \$1 million in funding from the Virginia Land Conservation Foundation. And the purpose of the funding would be used to offset required funds so that the Commonwealth of Virginia's Department of Conservation and Recreation, DCR yes, Conservation and Recreation would be able to acquire the Lake Caledon property, and annex it*



King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

into Caledon State Park. And you may recall, the Lake Caledon property is currently advertised as a residential development of 97 finished lots, plus or minus one acre, with private well and septic, and advertised as no proffers, no impact fees, nor infrastructure payments. So, I hope you all will consider their request, I think it would behoove us to support their grant application to have this directly off of Caledon Road, become part of Caledon State Park and not a 97-lot residential development. Thank you.

**1:42:53.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Did anyone have any questions? In that case I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.

**1:43:00.5 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair. I move to direct staff to draft the letter of support for the Trust for Public Lands grant application in the amount of \$1 million from the Virginia Land Conservation Foundation.

**1:43:17.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Second.

**1:43:17.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any further discussion? Go ahead, Mr. Collins.

**1:43:20.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Well, that's it. So that's in my district, 97 houses located on that piece of property would be a disaster for Fire & Rescue, so hopefully this board can approve this. Thank you.

**1:43:36.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any other comments? Alright. All in favor say aye.

**1:43:39.4 Ann Cupka:** Aye.

**1:43:40.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** Aye.

**1:43:41.6 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**1:43:43.1 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:43:45.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Ms. Lackey.

**County Attorney: Request to Advertise Notice of Public Hearing for Proration of Personal Property Taxes, Ms. Kelly Lackey**

**1:43:51.4 Kelly Lackey:** So, at the last board meeting, I was asked to bring forward a draft ordinance to prorate personal property taxes and to seek approval to advertise a notice of public hearing on such ordinance. Currently, if you are the owner of a motor vehicle trailer, semi-trailer, or boat, and you owe taxes for the calendar year as of January 1. If you move to a new jurisdiction, you don't have to pay taxes in the new jurisdiction, but King George keeps your taxes for that remaining part of the calendar year. If you sell your vehicle and buy a new one, you'll get a credit in your new jurisdiction for the taxes that you paid on the old vehicle, but you'd have to pay the balance. So, if this ordinance were to be implemented, if someone moves from King George to another pro rating

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

jurisdiction, so this is an optional authority of local governments. So, if another local government has the same structure, then King George would have to refund taxes, any taxes paid for months in which the vehicle is not present there. In its garage, if you will, in King George. But they would owe taxes to the new vehicle. This current draft does include an additional option to exclude proration of boats and vehicles that are of large weight, 10,000 pounds or more used for hire by a motor carrier engaged in interstate commerce. So that's an optional provision. That's something that you may or may not want to include in your final ordinance. Stafford does exclude those types of vehicles. Jessica Washington's did some research, and it looks like most of the surrounding jurisdictions do not differentiate if you have a boat or if you have a large vehicle that is involved in interstate commerce. They do not use that option to exclude you from proration. So that's a consideration. The proposed effective date would be January 1st, and if it is the will of the board, a public hearing could be advertised. I would suggest, due to the heft of the August 15th meeting that the public hearing not be held till September. Again, it was proposed effective date of January. So, there's no harm in waiting for one or more meetings.

**1:46:11.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Lackey? Okay.

**1:46:20.5 Cathy Binder:** Do we need a motion? Alright, I'll make a motion to advertise Notice of public hearing for a proration of personal property taxes.

**1:46:29.2 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Second.

**1:46:37.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any discussion?

**1:46:39.2 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, can I make a perfecting amendment.

**1:46:45.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** Please.

**1:46:45.3 Ann Cupka:** To specify a date so that staff knows which meeting? September, so do we want to go with the second meeting in September? September 19th?

**1:46:51.7 Cathy Binder:** Yes, what? September 19th?

**1:46:53.3 Ann Cupka:** Yeah.

**1:46:53.6 Cathy Binder:** So, I'll make that amendment to September 19th, for the September 19th meeting.

**1:47:00.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** So, there's an amendment made, does anyone want a second?

**1:47:06.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Second.

**1:47:07.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Second. Okay, thank you. Motion properly seconded with

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

*the amendment as well. So public hearing for the proration of personal property tax for the September 19th meeting, any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.*

**1:47:22.3 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**1:47:23.0 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**1:47:23.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**1:47:23.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**1:47:24.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Ms. Lackey.*

### **County Attorney: Request to Advertise Notice of Public Hearing to Repeal the Vehicle License Tax, Ms. Kelly Lackey**

**1:47:33.4 Kelly Lackey:** *Also, at the last meeting I was asked to bring forward a proposed ordinance to repeal the vehicle license tax effective January 1st, 2024. If implemented the current budget for the current fiscal year, the 2024 year, does not include the loss of revenue for the second half of the year. So that is a consideration subject to the will of the board. And, it doesn't have to be a license decal, but separately in the code, some sort of decal is referenced for use of convenience centers. So the county would probably have to have some mechanism for still issuing a decal of some kind, it wouldn't have to be tied to a licensed tax. And once again, if it's the will of board to advertise a public hearing, I would suggest that it be advertised, no earlier than one of the September meetings. Or it could be deferred to the next budget cycle if you're not ready to account for revenue loss for the second half of the fiscal year if it is effective. January 1.*

**1:48:36.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Lackey? Okay.*

**1:48:43.4 Cathy Binder:** *I'll make a motion and request to advertise notice of a public hearing for repealing the vehicle license tax for September 19th.*

**1:48:52.1 Ann Cupka:** *Second.*

**1:48:52.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.*

**1:48:58.9 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**1:49:00.6 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**1:49:00.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**1:49:01.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Yeah. Any opposed?*

**1:49:03.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Nay.*

**1:49:07.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Chair votes aye, motion carries. Ms. Lackey and Mr. Minor. Advertisement of Public Hearing, Conduct Town Hall for Economic Incentive Ordinances.

**County Attorney: Request to Advertise Notice of Public Hearing and Conduct Town Hall for Economic Incentive Ordinances, Ms. Kelly Lackey and Mr. Nick Minor, Director of Economic Development and Tourism**

**1:49:20.4 Nick Minor:** Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the board. I believe Mr. Dines; do I have a presentation? So, I'm here to provide an update on the economic development incentive zones and their revisions that was asked by the Board of Supervisors at our last July meeting.

**1:50:02.8 Kelly Lackey:** If I may, Mr. Chair, while we're waiting on the presentation, in your agenda packet, the draft ordinances in underlining and Strikethrough are the edits from the last draft that you saw, just for ease of review.

**1:51:13.5 Nick Minor:** He has a PowerPoint. I think that's it right there. Yeah, 003. Is that 003? Oh no, that's from the last one. Thank you Jaci. So, this should be pretty quick. I'll just provide a recap from the last presentation and then I'll go over the revisions that Ms. Kelly Lackey provided and then I'll take any questions. Of course, got all these things here. So, in the last presentation, I went over why you incentivize, we talked about competition and how it's just required as long as other localities and other states are incentivizing, there's really no option. We have to compete against those states and those other localities. There is a state match for some incentives that the state provides to a company and that requires a two-to-one match or a one-to-one match for that incentive. There's also the reason for targeted industry growth. In this instance, we're looking at technology and defense companies to target. I went over the internal process, how it will be reviewed by my department as well as the county administrator, and then we'll go before the board so that they understand what the qualifying incentives are and how they'll impact the community. I went over the qualifications for existing businesses, there'll be a \$500,000 qualification for capital investment, and I believe 5-10 jobs. For a new business that'll be 1 million. For a tech company, those incentives can run up to 10 years, for a defense company, it can run up to 20. And the proposed incentives are up to 100% for a business license, business personal property tax, machineries and tools, fees and permits can be also rebated. So the revisions provided was revision one, performance agreement provisions. So, a failure for a company to comply with the following will result in the revoking of their tech zone or defense zone designation. So a failure to pay taxes if they bankrupt themselves during the term of the agreement, if there's a change of use. So if they change from a tech business to another business, that would disqualify them and the county can revoke it. And also, not abiding by county ordinances. For revision two, the performance agreement enforcement. So, a default in the performance agreement provision can result in the Board of Supervisors removing that designation within 30 days. Revision three, a zoning ordinance. Well, one of the requests was to make sure that we make it clear that this ordinance does not affect the zoning ordinance in the county. So, nothing in this economic incentive zone ordinances can be construed as an exemption of the county ordinance. So that's clearly laid out in the new policy. And then a non-exclusivity of incentives. So nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the Board of Supervisors power to grant other or different economic incentives permitted by other laws to technology businesses on a case-by-case and with or without the participation of the King George

King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

Economic Development Authority. So here are the proposed areas. So, for these two incentive zones, we're looking at the Route 3 west settlement area, the 3 and 301 settlement area, the Dahlgren District and then to zoom in, we're looking at the Cleydale properties. So, parcel, thank you, Chris. 1772 and 1724. And the commercial properties here on the front. So approximately 500 acres that'll be included. And then just south of the 3 and 301 settlement area, we are considering these two parcels for an expanded business part. So, with that, I'm happy to take any questions. I'm sure Ms. Lackey and Jessica can help me answer anything as in terms of the revisions.

**1:55:50.6 Cathy Binder:** Well, I have quite a few questions. So, one is if we're not having Fairview Beach area, and there's a map here, that has the different colors.

**1:55:57.5 Nick Minor:** Yes ma'am.

**1:56:02.5 Cathy Binder:** So that needs to be removed if we're only going to be targeting certain areas? Because a map like this is telling people that you want it to be here. And so, if that's not part of the discussion, that needs to be taken out for the public hearing, see up by Fairview Beach.

**1:56:15.8 Nick Minor:** Yes, ma'am, so this is just a full map of all settlement areas in the county, and I'm just pointing out instead of having each a slide for each settlement area. We're only including Route 3 West, 3 and 301 and then the Dahlgren settlement area. Those are the only three that are included in the ordinance.

**1:56:32.5 Cathy Binder:** I just wanted a little clearer, and then I still have some heartburn with the Edgehill area. Because there's a lot of small businesses in that area and we're saying that "Hey, we want technology data centers renewable energy there." I still have a problem with the data center renewable energy part for the fact is we don't even have one they have tested and now we're advertising it in other areas and a lot of it is in Shiloh, so I'm very welcome to see the Town Hall because I don't even know if there people are interested in that being a target. Now, second industrial site, I understand that, but I'm talking about the Edgehill area where all those small mom-and-pop businesses are.

**1:57:09.5 Nick Minor:** Understood. Thank you ma'am.

**1:57:11.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Ms. Cupka.

**1:57:12.6 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair, can I just add something that might clarify that word. Right now, the zones that you just pointed out, those three zones, we're talking about, the first one we're considering is the defense production zone, right?

**1:57:32.6 Nick Minor:** Correct.

**1:57:33.5 Ann Cupka:** Okay.

**1:57:34.8 Nick Minor:** So actually, we're considering all zones for each settlement area just so we're on the same page. So, both zones for the three settlement areas are identified on this map.

**1:57:54.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Understood. And would this need to be two different public hearings for, one for county defense production zone and one for county technology ordinance zone? Or could it be put together as one public hearing?*

**1:58:08.2 Kelly Lackey:** *They would be two public hearing items. They could be heard same night.*

**1:58:11.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Understood, okay. Just wanted to verify. Does anyone else have any other questions for Mr. Minor or Ms. Lackey? So, I know we had discussed holding the public hearing, but setting it far enough out that we could have a Town Hall. And I know we haven't scheduled the Town Hall yet, obviously. And we do have a fair amount of business coming up soon and we've just put a handful of public hearings for September 19th. Would we want to look at the October meeting? Mr. Minor, would that seem like a reasonable timeframe?*

**1:58:45.1 Nick Minor:** *That gives me more than enough time. I think we did have some proposed dates depending on how fast the board wanted to move with the ordinance. I was going to recommend that we use an EDA meeting.*

**1:58:54.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**1:58:54.6 Nick Minor:** *For one of those Town Halls. So, I can provide those dates to Mr. Miller and Ms. Lackey and community engagement coordinator so that they're all aware of when we intend to do this.*

**1:59:08.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So, if we looked at, for instance, this October 3rd meeting for holding the public hearing, do you think that'd be enough time to prepare schedule and hold the town halls.*

**1:59:19.0 Nick Minor:** *More than enough time, yes, sir.*

**1:59:20.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay. So, I'll leave it to my colleagues. We don't have to go on that date, but just looking at what our current agenda looks like.*

**1:59:27.8 Chris Miller:** *Mr. Chair, they put up there some suggested dates for the Town Hall. Is this month, August 10th, 11th, 17th, 18th, 25th, 28th. I think you've got something on the 29th now. We've got to take that off. And then the 31st, and then you have September 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 15. So those are just some potential dates.*

**1:59:52.1 Nick Minor:** *Right. So, Mr. Chair, the EDA has their meetings on the second Thursday of every month.*

**2:00:01.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**2:00:01.4 Nick Minor:** *I don't know which day that is in the September lineup, but that may work perfectly with the scheduling of the public hearings.*

**2:00:10.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** So, the second Thursday in September is the 14th?

**2:00:12.7 Nick Minor:** 14th, it's not up there. So, it's probably not up there because it's probably on there as taken but the EDA meetings start at 5:00 PM can run about an hour to two hours long, depending on what's on the agenda. We could just add that Town Hall either as a part of the EDA meeting or separate before or after we conduct that meeting if that pleases the board.

**2:00:38.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** I'll ask my colleagues how they feel about that.

**2:00:42.0 Nick Minor:** 14th of September.

**2:00:44.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** 14th. I'm sorry to say that again Mr. Collins.

**2:00:50.1 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** So, date's up there, 7th is a date up there.

**2:00:52.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** Correct. So, Mr. Minor was speaking to holding this in conjunction with an EDA meeting, and then EDA meeting would be on the 14th and the reason it wasn't up there is because the EDA is meeting that day. So, it's already held. So, I think these were open dates.

**2:01:07.2 Nick Minor:** Correct.

**2:01:10.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** Mr. Minor was providing with us. Ms. Binder, did you have something?

**2:01:11.3 Cathy Binder:** Yes. Because how, this is a Town Hall, so how would that work with an EDA meeting? Because we want to make it so people can come up and ask questions, maybe look at some displays, it doesn't seem to go very well with an organized meeting. It needs to be a little more informal so people can come up and they might take 10 minutes to ask a question, not be limited to three minutes in a public comment.

**2:01:33.4 Chris Miller:** I would imagine that one thing they could do is hold their meeting and then adjourn it and then open the meeting up, like what was done on Thursday night last week on the zoning open house. So, it'd be kind of similar to that. You could allow people to come forward and look at things and make comments, talk with the EDA members, talk with Mr. Minor and myself.

**2:01:57.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** I didn't think it was necessarily in conjunction, like as in at the same time as the EDA meetings occurring, I thought it was like a back-to-back.

**2:02:03.7 Nick Minor:** Of course, sir.

**2:02:07.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Like the EDA meeting would be held and then it would be after that would be the Town Hall, which would be a more open forum to have those conversations.

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

**2:02:11.7 Cathy Binder:** *That's fine. So, September 14th? Possibly.*

**2:02:14.4 Nick Minor:** *Yes, ma'am.*

**2:02:15.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**2:02:15.7 Cathy Binder:** *Can we get an invitation to. I always ask that. Thank you.*

**2:02:18.4 Nick Minor:** *Absolutely.*

**2:02:20.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So then, I would still ask if we wanted to make a motion to advertise for a public hearing then, or if you guys want to wait. I guess we do have Mr. Dines, is see your hand up.*

**2:02:32.4 Mr. Dines:** *Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Fish said that there's a voter machine set up on the 14th, for Mr. Lorrie Gump.*

**2:02:43.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Understood.*

**2:02:46.7 Nick Minor:** *What time does that start?*

**2:02:48.9 Mr. Dines:** *It's supposed to be all day, I believe.*

**2:02:50.5 Nick Minor:** *Does she end at 4:30?*

**2:02:53.5 Mr. Dines:** *We could check.*

**2:02:56.1 Nick Minor:** *Okay.*

**2:03:06.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So, if it needs to be another meeting space, then we will advertise for that.*

**2:03:12.3 Nick Minor:** *We can target another date and make sure that it's properly advertised and marketed to get it out to the residents sometime in September if it's not on that EDA 14th date.*

**2:03:23.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay.*

**2:03:24.3 Nick Minor:** *Is that fair for the board?*

**2:03:28.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay, so we're not going to advertise the date right now is what you're saying.*

**2:03:31.2 Nick Minor:** *Correct.*

**2:03:31.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *For the public for the Town Hall.*



**2:03:33.3 Nick Minor:** *Correct. We'll work out the logistics, make sure that Lorrie doesn't, that the register's office doesn't need that space, up until the EDA meeting because since we start at five, we can adjust our date. I mean, our timing to either start after the Town Hall.*

**2:03:48.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Understood.*

**2:03:48.6 Nick Minor:** *Or before the Town Hall.*

**2:03:48.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Then I would say let's bring it back. Looking to have a lot on the 15th, but at least to have a recommendation of when and where, so we can make the motion to advertise for the Town Hall and the public hearing then.*

**2:04:00.8 Nick Minor:** *So, we want to have the Town Hall before the public hearing.*

**2:04:03.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Yes. That is correct.*

**2:04:04.6 Nick Minor:** *I'm tracking.*

**2:04:06.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *But if you can hammer out the logistics for the timeframe with Ms. Gump and make sure that it's available. And if it is, then we can at that point, hopefully, have a fairly quick action item to move forward with advertising. So, is everyone amenable to that? Thank you, Mr. Minor, I appreciate it.*

**2:04:26.2 Nick Minor:** *Thank you sir.*

**2:04:26.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Ms. Lackey.*

### **County Attorney: Request to Advertise Notice of Public Hearing for No Through Truck Zone, Ms. Kelly Lackey**

**2:04:26.5 Kelly Lackey:** *So, as you'll recall, last August the board conducted a public hearing and adopted a resolution to request that the Commonwealth Transportation Board adopt a No-Through Truck restriction on Route 206 Dahlgren Road. The criteria for a No-Through Truck zone requires an alternate route which is provided by Routes 205 and 3, and recommendations from the local jurisdiction and VDOT. Mr. Beale previously indicated that he thought the VDOT district traffic engineer would be in support. So, state regulations provide that The Commonwealth Transportation Board has nine months to act on that resolution and for whatever reason, they have not acted within the nine months. I'm told they're ready to act, but now they've hit up this little procedural hiccup and that their regulations say they're supposed to act within nine months of a resolution. So the recommendation from VDOT was the suggestion that the county renew its resolution to request to the CTB, the Commonwealth Transportation Board to enact this No-Through Truck restriction, also lets you dust off that and if you have any new factors that you can add into your argument of why, it should be so restricted, it might be helpful to your case. So, my recommendation is public hearing be held once again for a renewal of that resolution that was previously advertised. This one you may or may not want to take up sooner than September, I defer*

to you all.

**2:06:04.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Anyone have any questions for Ms. Lackey?*

**2:06:08.2 Cathy Binder:** *I don't have a question, but I do have a discussion.*

**2:06:12.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay. Go ahead Ms. Binder?*

**2:06:16.7 Cathy Binder:** *I have to say once again, because I'll probably be voting nay on this, is, my concern is we're just pushing the traffic onto other roads that are going to have a hard time handling, like coming through right here through the courthouse and on 205, down towards the trailer park in King George Elementary. But I will state today, I actually watched, I was behind a truck, a big truck, driving on 206 and they couldn't stay in their lane. And it was quite dangerous, and I even backed off on the speed, going behind them because I kept seeing them go from one side to the other and I wish there were a better solution, but I am concerned we're just pushing the truck traffic onto another smaller road. That is my concern.*

**2:06:58.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any other discussion? All right, then I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.*

**2:07:03.9 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Mr. Chair, I move to authorize staff to authorize a notice of public hearing to renew a resolution requesting adoption of a through truck restriction on 206 Dahlgren Road.*

**2:07:20.7 Ann Cupka:** *Second.*

**2:07:21.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion and properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? So, do we have a date that we would like to set up for this public hearing?*

**2:07:30.7 Cathy Binder:** *In October, I would think.*

**2:07:33.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Miss Lackey you said, to get it sooner than later.*

**2:07:40.1 Kelly Lackey:** *I just didn't know if the board wanted to act sooner because it was already decided that the recommendation was to request it. So, I didn't know if you wanted to act sooner or not.*

**2:07:49.8 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Mr. Chair, I would try to get it on sooner than later. I've been talking to Mr. Beale about this and it's just Richmond who has been just dragging his feet on this, so, I would like to have the public hearing, get it going.*

**2:08:08.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So, you're asking for the August 15th meeting?*

**2:08:10.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Yes.*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

**2:08:10.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Okay, so there's an amendment, is that a motion? I'm sorry.*

**2:08:15.4 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Yes, for the August 15th meeting.*

**2:08:17.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion. Do we have a second?*

**2:08:20.6 Ann Cupka:** *Second.*

**2:08:21.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion?*

**2:08:23.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Mr. Chair, the August 15th meeting, there's a lot of stuff on it.*

**2:08:28.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Yep.*

**2:08:30.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So, is there a rule that this board can't go past midnight? Like the Service Authority.*

**2:08:37.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *If it gets to midnight, the board can vote to extend and we have done that in the past, a couple of years ago for the villages we did do that, there was a pretty lengthy public hearing as well.*

**2:08:48.9 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Okay.*

**2:08:49.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *So, it is available.*

**2:08:49.5 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Okay, thank you.*

**2:08:51.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Yes, sir. Any other discussion? Alright, all those in favor say aye.*

**2:09:00.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**2:09:00.8 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:09:00.8 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:09:00.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed?*

**2:09:01.0 Cathy Binder:** *Nay.*

**2:09:00.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you Ms. Lackey. Ms. Hahn, Department of Finance Transferring remaining CIP Project Funds to the Capital*

Projects Construction Line for FY22-23.

**Department of Finance: Transfer Remaining CIP Project Funds to the Capital Projects Construction Line for FY2022-2023, Ms. Donna Hahn**

**2:09:18.0 Donna Hahn:** Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board. The annual appropriation resolution allows for the transfer of any remaining funds in a completed project to be returned to the funding source for capital projects. Below is a list in your board report of completed projects and the funds that remain in each one of those. And those funds we're asking to transfer back to the Capital Projects construction line for future appropriation to new projects. I won't go over all of the projects that are in there, but as you can see, this has gone back to some of the funds that have been there since fiscal year '13 and we just want to move those back to the main line so that we can re-appropriate those. So, I ask that you authorize county administrator to transfer \$1,618,270.82, and we need to do this in fiscal year '22/'23, from the projects as presented to the Capital Project construction line for future re-appropriation.

**2:10:21.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** Do we have any questions for Ms. Hahn?

**2:10:24.0 Ann Cupka:** Yes Mr. Chair.

**2:10:25.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Go ahead, Ms. Cupka.

**2:10:25.9 Ann Cupka:** Ms. Hahn, can you clarify please the amount in the recommended action ends in 82 cents and the amount in your debit ends in 52 cents. So, it's not balanced.

**2:10:40.3 Donna Hahn:** I thought I had changed all of those. It is the 82.

**2:10:48.1 Ann Cupka:** 82?

**2:10:48.5 Donna Hahn:** Yes ma'am.

**2:10:48.8 Ann Cupka:** Is the correct motion?

**2:10:49.0 Donna Hahn:** There was a 30-cent left off in calculations.

**2:10:52.9 Ann Cupka:** Thank you.

**2:10:55.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** Are there any other questions? Any comments? I'll entertain a motion.

**2:11:00.5 Jeffrey Stonehill:** I move to authorize the county administrator to transfer 1,618,270.82 in the FY 2022/2023 from the projects as presented to the Capital Project construction line for future re-appropriation.

**2:11:21.8 Ann Cupka:** Second.

**2:11:22.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All those in favor say aye.*

**2:11:28.2 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:11:28.4 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**2:11:28.6 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:11:28.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**2:11:28.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.*

**2:11:31.0 Donna Hahn:** *Thank you.*

**2:11:37.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Mr. Clarke. Oh, Ms. Pitts.*

### **Department of Finance and Parks and Recreation: Barnesfield Athletic Lighting Retrofit, Ms. Megan Pitts and Mr. Chris Clarke**

**2:11:43.7 Megan Pitts:** *I'll pretend.*

**2:11:45.0 Megan Pitts:** *Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board. I'm Megan Pitts. I am here before you this evening in reference to the award of a contract for the Barnesfield Lighting retrofit. This procurement is being achieved through the James Madison University VASCUPP cooperative contract number UCPJMU6542 approved in the FY 2021, 2022 capital improvement plan. The field, a retrofit at Barnesfield Park will replace outdated sodium halide lighting with state-of-the-art LED lighting. This new lighting will meet the specifications for all major recreational sports associations and allow tournaments and recreational play after sunset. The system meets the 2018 International Building Code provides consistent light levels across the field, 50-foot candles infield, and 30-foot candles outfield and ball tracker technology that provides targeted light to balls in play without glare for the players. In addition, Control-Link allows staff to schedule lighting as well as use a web application to turn on and off lighting on demand. The system also provides offsite monitoring that reports bulb outages and other falls directly to Musco with 24/7 support. Finally, the system has a 25-year warranty, including materials and onsite labor. With that, I would request that you authorize the county administrator to execute a contract with Musco for the retrofit of field A field lighting at Barnesfield Park in the amount of \$214,882, subject to approval as to form by the county attorney. And I'll note that the quote includes a wiring replacement that is not being included with this procurement.*

**2:13:30.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Do we have any questions for Ms. Pitts? Alright.*

**2:13:35.0 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Mr. Chair. I authorize the county administrator to execute a contract with Musco for the retrofit of field A field lighting at Barnesfield Park in the amount of \$214,282, subject to approval as to form by the county attorney.*

**2:13:58.0 Cathy Binder:** *Can I do a friendly amendment? Isn't it 882?*

**2:14:04.7 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *I'm sorry, yes. \$214,882. Loaner glasses.*

**2:14:15.2 Cathy Binder:** *All good, second.*

**2:14:16.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded for \$214,882. Any discussion? All those in favor say aye.*

**2:14:25.7 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**2:14:26.0 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:14:26.2 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:14:26.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**2:14:26.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries.*

**2:14:29.6 Megan Pitts:** *Thank you.*

**2:14:30.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Thank you Ms. Pitts.*

**2:14:30.2 Megan Pitts:** *Have a good evening.*

**2:14:31.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *You as well. I'll look it up this time to verify. Chief Moody.*

### **Department of Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Services: Ratification of an Agreement between KGC & the VA Dept of Emergency Management, Chief Moody**

**2:14:40.6 Chief Moody:** *Mr. Chair, members of the board, this action item is to approve a resolution, your attached resolution and authorize county administrator to sign a memorandum of understanding with the Virginia Department Emergency Management. I will say that we have met with the personnel of the Virginia Department of Emergency Management. We've been meeting with them over the past year. We believe that this MOU is a win for both the county and for their agency. By signing this MOU King George County will maintain and have the capabilities of a FEMA type three Swiftwater rescue team and will provide assistance in neighboring jurisdictions throughout the Commonwealth or through EMAC request as staffing and operations may allow. The opportunities offered by partnering with the Virginia Department of Emergency Management will strengthen our fire rescue local water and regional water response capabilities. So, one thing I will add is this, this kind of goes hand in hand with what we brought to you a couple months ago with the Marine Incident Response Team and the Port Authority, the Virginia Port Authority Agreement. Now this one kind of works hand in hand with that, but it's through the Virginia Department of Emergency Management. So, I'm happy to answer any questions about the details of this.*

**2:16:09.0 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Do we have any questions for Chief? Thanks, Chief.*

**2:16:14.2 Chief Moody:** *Thank you.*

**2:16:14.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.*

**2:16:23.9 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *I move to approve the attached resolution and authorize the county administrator to sign the VDEM, MOU.*

**2:16:33.0 Cathy Binder:** *Second.*

**2:16:34.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All those in favor say aye.*

**2:16:39.0 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**2:16:39.3 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:16:39.6 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:16:39.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye*

**2:16:39.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you, Chief. We are to the County Administrator's report.*

### **County Administrator's Report**

**2:16:52.4 Chris Miller:** *Thank you, Mr. Chair. I guess I would just ask for some clarification on Mr. Collins, you would ask for a couple of items. Could you clarify again what you were asking for so that I have the information?*

**2:17:05.5 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *On page eight, I think it's the library the Didgeridoo \$450 for their presentation or whatever it was.*

**2:17:17.1 Chris Miller:** *So, it's my understanding, and Mr. Clarke actually found that on their website, but it's a program for kids that are coming through and that's what it is. It's going to be something that the library is doing where I can read it, what he sent me. Didgeridoo Down Under offers the most exciting Australian-themed shows, concert workshops, and K-12 programs with music, science, character building, environmentalism, audience participation and more and so that's they're schedule to come here. So That's all I know. I can find out more information from our librarian. And then you had another question, I think about the Dominion. So, we have not received anything, but we will press Mr. De La Cruz or Mr. Fakhoury for follow-up information and then get that to you.*

**2:18:16.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Yeah, I asked them to get back with me specifically about*

the specific questions I had, and they have not.

**2:18:23.3 Chris Miller:** And I will remind them of that. Okay. The other items on the agenda for the county administrator's report. So, you have the non-capital projects which are on this list, and these are the items obviously that don't involve capital projects or major engineering work, or major facilities work, but these are some of the things that we're working on. Obviously, there's some items that are things that we had put on the formation of the Department of Social Services as a deviating agency from the state of Virginia. There was a concern about what did that mean, it's, there are two ways that the DSS can operate. One is they can operate specifically under the guidance of the state, Department of Social Services and, basically all their policies and procedures and the way they run their organization, is run through the state or there's another option where they can become a deviating agency where they could adopt the local counties policies dealing with human resources and other aspects of things. We work obviously very closely with Mr. Franklin and the DSS, and I know he is working right now with Mr. Messler about how that could come together. There's certainly no timetable on it, but I think that there's a desire to look into it as a possibility. Zoning ordinance overhaul, obviously last week you had the meeting, there is a proposal for the 29th of this month for the board and the planning commission to meet again. Then I believe there's another one that they're proposing in September where you would meet again to deal with the proposals that were presented at the meeting last Thursday. We've asked for any written information to get to us specifically to Mr. Pancotti so he can forward that to the Berkeley Group if you have some specifics about the proposed zoning changes when we're asking for it by the 14th. And if you, if you can't, and you want to just meet with Mr. Pancotti and Mr. Stuart, they've indicated they would be available to do that as well. So Ms. Lackey and I met with Ms. Hart and Ms. Puckett on the 13th regarding the reassessment committee, and I think that's something that we'll come back to you all in some form here very shortly in terms of what the proposals are and how that could be put together, but I know that they had some concerns and they had some recommendations about how that could come together, so we wanted to get back with you on that. Expansion of the convenience center at Purkins Corner, as you know, was part of the original 17th Amendment and then it now is part of the 21st Amendment. And as Ms. Lackey indicated, we are in discussions with the Waste Management folks and we have reminded them and we will reassert that, that it's in their best interest to have some kind of a proposal that we can feel comfortable presenting to the board because I think that the board is obviously has a vested interest in this and we certainly want to try to get this resolved. There are a lot of things that we're trying to work through, and we will obviously notify Mr. Collins after we meet with them about what the status of it is. Second phase of the Turn Lane project for the Middle School Turn Lane, as you know, that's that has been approved and now we're working with them on the other part of it. There's no status update on the financial system replacement. Obviously, they're working with the team that's involved in that, Ms. Hahn and her group. And so that is an ongoing project. These are some non-capital projects for the utility, the water and sewer authority. And if you're interested, and I presented this to them as well, but I think of most notable is the meter reading that indicates no status change, but actually the status change is that they're ahead of the game and they're getting ready to restart the next phase. And I would also add that as part of the AMI meter reading upgrades, they're looking when they go into each house and they're looking at each connection that the homeowner has to the water system, they are looking about so that we can understand, For lack of a better word, infrastructure, if you will lead or copper, what type of a connection it is so that



King George County Board of Supervisors  
 August 01, 2023  
 Meeting Minutes

then we are going to utilize that information to work with our rate payers to upgrade away from the lead and copper. You all got an email or a letter from Ms. Higgins with the county school system and she indicated that they had a lead testing associated with the well that is behind the Pre-School. As you know, there is a well that the school board has maintained, the Service Authority has been testing that for many years, going probably back to the early 2000s, and so we continue to test it Inboden, our contractor does that and we'll continue to work with them, but I think they had an obligation to notify you as well as the school board about what happened, but that will be probably part of the project as well that we're working with. The only couple of other items I would add is that there is a topping off ceremony, and that is not associated with ice cream or other things, but it is for the King George County Courthouse. So, from 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM on the 24th, you're welcome to come and you'll have to wear a hard hat, you might want to wear some nice boots of some kind, but they'll take you up and you'll be able to do a tour of everything. My understanding from Mr. Quesenberry is when you're building a facility like a Courthouse, once you get the roof on top and then the next stage of everything is that they're going to start drying in everything and putting up walls and things like that. This is where they have a ceremony, a celebration if you will. So, the project is still on task, it's still on schedule. It's still very well run by Branch Builds and Moseley. And so, we should be having a Courthouse here by sometime in mid to late April of '24. So very exciting. Again, there are some proposed dates for the economic development incentive ordinance, but I think that we'll have to circle back with you on that anyway. And there are some employee anniversaries, if you're interested in the very last two pages or last page, last two pages. Ms. Washington is with us, her first year, she's made it [laughter], but we have a number of folks that are in the service. I always like to call out the folks that have been here a while, Patti Norris Barker, 29 years, Melissa Frye, 28 years, Mr. Michael Thompson with the Sheriff's Department, 25 years. Also, Glenn Andrew Massey, 22 years, your Commonwealth attorney, 21 years, Ms. Gusmann. And then, McDowney, Ms. Virginia McDowney, 19 years, with the Sheriff's Department and the communication office. If Ms. Cook were still here, Ms. Kim Cook was here, I'd ask her to pass on my congratulations to Kevin Cook, who's been with the County for 19 years. So just try to recognize these employees. That's all I have.

**2:27:56.3 Jeffrey Stonehill:** Also, there's also a 25-year veteran of the Sheriff's Office actually sitting right here in the back room. Deputy Thompson, thank you for all of your years in service and putting up with us here in the boardroom.

**2:28:16.6 Chris Miller:** That's it.

**2:28:16.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** Mr. Miller was there a resolution declaring that August 23rd, 2023, as First Responder Appreciation Day?

**2:28:24.8 Chris Miller:** Yes, sir. I missed that one. So, we would need a motion and a second.

**2:28:33.1 Ann Cupka:** Mr. Chair. I'll move adoption of a resolution declaring August 23, 2023, as First Responder Appreciation Day in King George County.

**2:28:42.4 Cathy Binder:** Second.

**2:28:43.3 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say, aye.*

**2:28:47.7 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**2:28:48.1 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:28:48.4 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:28:48.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**2:28:48.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Did anyone else have any questions for Mr. Miller? And do I see that there's a plan to All Points Broadband presentation on the 19th of September?*

**2:29:03.5 Chris Miller:** *Yeah. I'm glad that we wrote these things down. So, yes, Mr. Innes from All Points Broadband indicated that he will be here in person on the 19th. He was wanting to do it virtually, but we told him very plainly that you all would like to have him here. So, the 19th is the one that he can be at.*

**2:29:27.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Thank you for making it known that that is what we're looking for, a personal presence. Did anyone else have any other questions for Mr. Miller? Okay, in that case, I will entertain a motion for a closed session.*

### **Closed Session:**

**2:29:51.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Is it the current one?*

**2:29:53.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *The one I have.*

**2:29:56.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convenes an enclosed meeting to discuss proposed local incentive package for major economic development project pursuant to Virginia Code section 2.2-3711. A.6. Board assist discussion and consideration of investment of public funds where competition and bargaining are involved. We have made public initially the financial interest of the county would be affected and the specific legal matters requiring the proposition of legal advice Virginia Code section 2.23711A82, I move that King George County Board of Supervisors convene enclosed meeting for discussion and consideration of the performance and salary of the county administrator pursuant to Virginia Code 2.23711.A.1 and for the consultation with legal counsel that specific legal matters namely provisions of employment contract of the county administrator pursuant to Virginia Code section 2.23711.A.8. I invite the county administrator and the county attorney in need it because they are deemed necessary and, or their presence will be reasonably aiding the board in consideration of topics to be discussed pursuant to Virginia Code 2.237112.F.*

**2:31:25.0 Ann Cupka:** *Second.*

King George County Board of Supervisors  
August 01, 2023  
Meeting Minutes

**2:31:25.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *The motion properly seconded and do we have any discussion? I will ask is there a need for Mr. Minor to be in this discussion. Then could I ask that we have an amendment to include Mr. Minor to be invited for any pertinent business as part of the closed session. So, I'm asking if someone would be willing to make an amendment to the motion.*

**2:32:02.3 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *I will help make that amendment to have Mr. Minor included in the closed session.*

**2:32:09.5 Cathy Binder:** *Just for the first part, not the second.*

**2:32:09.8 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Just for the pertinent business.*

**2:32:12.2 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Pertinent business. Yes.*

**2:32:15.5 Ann Cupka:** *Second.*

**2:32:15.7 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Second. Okay, thank you we have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.*

**2:32:20.0 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**2:32:20.0 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**2:32:20.9 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**2:32:21.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**2:32:23.1 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any oppose? Chair votes aye, motion carries. We are in closed session.*

### **Return to Public Meeting:**

**2:32:35.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *I move the King George County Board of Supervisors, return to public meeting and certify by vote that only public business met lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion, convening the closed session were heard discussed or considered during the closed meeting.*

**3:55:50.6 Cathy Binder:** *Second.*

**3:55:51.2 Chairman Richard Granger:** *We have a motion probably seconded. Do we have any discussion? We'll certify by roll call, Ms. Binder.*

**3:55:56.1 Cathy Binder:** *So certify.*

**3:55:57.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Mr. Collins?*

**3:55:58.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *So Certify.*

**3:55:58.6 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Ms. Cupka?*

**3:56:00.6 Ann Cupka:** *So certify.*

**3:56:02.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Mr. Stonehill?*

**3:56:03.6 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *So certify.*

**3:56:04.5 Chairman Richard Granger:** *The chair so certifies. We're back in open session. Do we have any other business to bring before the board tonight? Seeing none, I entertain a motion.*

### **Adjournment:**

**3:56:12.2 Jeffrey Stonehill:** *Alright, I would move to adjourn till Tuesday, August 15th, 2023, 6:30 PM right here in the Robert H. Combs boardroom.*

**3:56:20.7 Cathy Binder:** *Second.*

**3:56:21.9 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.*

**3:56:25.4 Ann Cupka:** *Aye.*

**3:56:25.2 Cathy Binder:** *Aye.*

**3:56:25.3 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins:** *Aye.*

**3:56:25.3 Jeffery Stonehill:** *Aye.*

**3:56:25.4 Chairman Richard Granger:** *Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We're adjourned.*