

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

The King George County Board of Supervisors (KGCBS) and the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors (KGCSA BOD) Joint Meeting was called to order at 6:00 PM by Chairman Richard Granger and Chairman, Allen Parker in the Robert H. Combs Board Room of the Revercomb Building, located at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George County, Virginia 22485.

KGCBS Members Present: Chairman Richard Granger
Cathy Binder
Ann Cupka
Jeffery Stonehill

KGCBS Members Absent: Vice Chairman T.C. Collins

KGCSA BOD Members Present: Chairman Allen Parker
Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland
Cathy Binder
Ann Cupka
James Morris
Christopher Miller

County Administrator:

County Attorney: Kelly Lackey
Assistant County Attorney: Jessica Washington

KGC Staff Present: Jaci Fish, Executive Assistant
Donna Hahn, Director of Finance
Derrick Mestler, Director of Human Resources

Call to Order:

0:00:00.0 Chairman Richard Granger: I call to order this meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors.

0:00:05.0 Chairman Allen Parker: I call to order this meeting of the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors.

Amendments to the Agenda:

0:00:09.1 Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments to the agenda?

0:00:13.3 Chris Miller: No, sir.

0:00:14.3 Chairman Richard Granger: Okay, in that case I will open the floor for public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. When you come up please state your name and your address and you will have your opportunity. Public comment is open now.

Public Comment:

0:00:50.7 Brent Hunsinger: Test, test, got it. Okay, thank you. Mr. Chair, Members of the Boards, my name is Brent Hunsinger, I'm with Friends of the Rappahannock. Glad to be here to discuss water with you all tonight, to listen about the intricacies of the demands for surface water and groundwater. First, I'd like to give a little update on things that I'm working with you all in the county. I don't like to always be in front of you to ask for things or oppose things. That's how the

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

nature of the business though. The first thing that I'd like to talk about is I have been in conversations with Parks and Rec on looking forward to the new park on the Rappahannock helping provide trees. So, we will provide trees for that park as well as looking around for grants to try and find public access down to the river. That'll be most likely canoe and kayak access due to the steepness of the banks. Also, I don't believe it's actually been shared publicly but Friends of the Rappahannock has applied for and received and is beginning to do programming with the high school. We received another National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Bay Watershed Education and Training (B-WET) grant to do meaningful watershed educational experiences with those kids and so I believe some of the in-class programming is beginning and in the next couple of years you will see more tree planting hopefully going on as for action projects at the schools as well as other activities going on throughout the county. So, we are very glad to have our educators back in the classrooms here in King George on a regular basis. That'll be with the 9th grade. Also, Virginia Conservation Assistance Program is something that is still available. There is a lot of money for that in the state. I have a site visit tomorrow, most of my site visits and projects have come in the Dahlgren area for living shoreline. So, I'll be there again tomorrow doing another site visit. So those are slowly but steadily coming in. So, any help that you all could give with that is the opportunity for people to deal with some stormwater and flooding issues if they have that for old construction, not new construction. I do have a question about the status of the community flood preparedness funds money that you all received last year, the new grant application period for that. You received \$30,000 to create your resilience plan. The reason I ask is because the next round of grant funding has come open or is coming open very soon and so you will have until I think December to apply if you, I don't know, basically you have a chance but if you don't have your plan the window might be too much. The other issue with that is obviously if Reggie is not kept you will not have community flood preparedness funds going forward. That's a whole different ball of wax. Finally, the Rappahannock River Basin Commission next week will be discussing water supply planning a little bit and then at the December meeting in Caroline that will be water supply planning will be a major topic. The Director of DEQ, Director of water supply planning will be presenting at that meeting down in Caroline County. So that one is not to be missed. Love to have you there. Finally, public participation and transparency is going to be very important. I know I've mentioned this before, but I cannot stress to you all enough between businesses, industry, and local government holding public participation outreach events before projects come to the Planning Commission and or the Board of Supervisors for votes, getting that out months in advance really helps people understand what is going on. And it's not necessarily happening in a lot of the counties in this watershed and so there's a lot of angst and there's a lot of misinformation or misunderstanding that's going on. So just that is my ask to please get out in front of this. It benefits you all and it benefits the citizens and all interested stakeholders. So, that's it. Thank you all very much. Appreciate your time.

0:05:02.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you sir. Do we have anyone else for public comment?

0:05:12.3 Hobie Mitchel: Good evening Members of the Board of Supervisors and the Service Authority. My name is Hobie Mitchel. I live in Aldie, Virginia. I am also here with my business partner Dale Homas and Burnley Taylor is also here. We're here tonight as a resource for both Boards and Commissions. I was a 20-year member of a Service Authority up in Northern Virginia for 20 years and Chair of the Finance side of that. Dale was a 45-year Executive Director of the Service Authority up there. We've kind of been where you're at now and saw a lot of things happening. We have a lot of experience in that and some thoughts and we're here tonight as a resource for our honest opinions about where you're at and some thoughts about it and share our experiences. So that's all we have to say and we're here to be of any resource and help if we can do it in your decisions. Thank you.

0:06:13.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online?

0:06:23.3 Chris Dines: No, Mr. Chairman.

0:06:31.0 Chairman Richard Granger: Did anyone receive any correspondence to be entered into the record for tonight? Okay. In that case, I will close public comment and we will move on to discussion items. We'll start with the King George County Service Authority versus Utility, long-term versus short-term plan. Mr. Miller?

Chairman Granger Closed the Floor for Public Comment.

King George County Service Authority versus Utility, Long-term versus Short-term Plan:

0:06:50.9 Chairman Allen Parker: So, I'll start off and then Mr. Miller can kind of chime in. I know back in the spring we had or in the last year we had a discussion with the Supervisors about flipping the Authority to a Utility. At this point really it's operating like a Utility sans the actual title. Just to clarify the basic differences in Authority in theory anyway would be an autonomous body that makes its own decisions and whatnot. The kind of how this Authority is set up, it's really still tied to the county pretty heavily. And what you're finding more and more, and I think some of you have seen this when you're trying to negotiate or get in development whatnot. The Service Authority is disconnected or it's a little bit more connected now that Mr. Miller's sitting as the Interim General Manager, but it's disconnected generally from the county and the county's goals and the Authority's goals aren't necessarily the same. And it's my opinion, several people's opinion that honestly turning it into a Utility where you have a director or whatnot sitting on it like you'd have any other department here, will give you one better oversight. Really it doesn't change the finances at all from my understanding talking to Ms. Hahn and others, to Enterprise Fund if I'm saying that correctly. The money's completely separate and it's operated similarly. The difference being when somebody comes in like Mr. Mitchel or whatnot comes into to plan a development, he sits down with the county the Utility director and whatnot, and everybody hashes out what's going on and it also allows better planning for the future. You can really figure out what you guys want to do, what the Supervisors at a given time want to do, where you want the development to go and how that looks. Right now, you could want something across the street if we don't have the ability to do it, it's like go pound sand. In a really big community, an Authority might be an ideal situation, but from what I see in a smaller community, since we're so interconnected anyway, the county's providing us HR, the county's providing us finance oversight, the county other than the title it really is a Utility lacking in any county management so to speak. There's a disconnect in what the county can do, what the county can't. So, wanted to start that discussion. I think most of you are aware we've switched the management day-to-day operations over to Inboden, so they're covering all that work. We do have a small crew doing the maintenance and some of the accounting and whatnot, but other than that, day-to-day operations are being serviced by Inboden and Bryce (Young) is actually helping us out in the engineering end on a lot of the projects. So, there's already quite a bit of interaction. It's in my opinion operating like a Utility just lacking the actual formality of it. So just wanted to start those discussions and the long-term, short-term plan is where do you want the long-term plan, I think, is switching it to Utility. There might be a short-term where we have to as an Authority hire somebody that may be eventually the Director to oversee things because I know there's going to be more and more projects and whatnot that I don't think we want Mr. Miller bogged down in on the day-to-day. And Bryce is going to get bogged down as well doing more Service Authority work than county work. So just wanted to get people's thoughts, get people thinking on that and go from there.

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

0:11:20.3 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mr. Parker. I appreciate the synopsis. I would hazard a guess, I think we all kind of recognize that we're an everything but name, a Utility. So, I do think it makes sense to move in that direction. I'm not sure the process that would need to be done and how long it would take to get to that position or if there's any intermediate steps that just from a legal perspective on how to get there. So, but I'll leave it to my colleagues also to weigh in if they think differently or if they agree.

0:11:52.7 Ann Cupka: So, as you all know, I do sit on both Boards, and I do agree.

0:12:03.4 Jeffrey Stonehill: I would also agree. I mean, they're just, they're so intertwined together now anyways, and with the finance and everything like you said and the only thing it's different is that there's a name. I mean, we're responsible for the debt and the county is we and I think it's time. And especially since the day-to-day operations are farmed out anyways, I think for sure that that's the direction we need to go in but like the Chairman said, and how do we do that legally and everything? So that might be a Lackey question.

0:12:49.8 Kelly Lackey: Yes. So, following from that discussion, there is a legal mechanism that's specified in the Virginia Code for dissolution of an Authority of this type. The process that's specified would be that the Authority, the Board of Directors would first need to adopt a resolution expressing an intention that you want to go in that route. And then it would be up to the Board of Supervisors to have to render a conclusion that either the purposes of the Authority have been rendered impractical or impossible or the obligations will be assumed by the county. So, if you wanted to move in a Utility direction, it would take a resolution by each body and then we would have to work on a plan to accomplish it. To my knowledge, at least two jurisdictions have recently done this transition. In 2020, the Pittsylvania County Service Authority dissolved, and Pittsylvania County filed articles of dissolution and did a process of transitioning all of the real property assets, the digital assets and all the necessary things. It was like a 15-month process from when they initiated it to get through it all. And the other example I have is Greene County (Virginia). It initiated a resolution to withdraw from the Rapidan Service Authority in April 2021. Now that is a multi-jurisdictional Service Authority, so that one was a little bit more complicated, but they did eventually get approval subject to a withdrawal and transition agreement with the other jurisdictions that are part of that Authority. And so just in August of this year, they adopted an ordinance that establishes the structure of their Utility department. So, those are instructive examples if that is the direction that both boards want to work towards, but it would be a lengthy process, hard to say exactly how long. If we started now and during the budget process we were planning towards that goal, optimistically maybe we could do it with the next fiscal year, but it might take a little bit longer than that. But that might be a path forward if that's something you all want to look at.

0:15:29.0 Chairman Richard Granger: So, the start those resolutions by both Boards, does it matter if one goes before the other, could it, is it just whenever?

0:15:36.7 Kelly Lackey: Yes. So, the statute has the Authority Board go first. So, coming out of this meeting, if that's the direction that kind of the consensus, then I can draft a resolution to that effect that could be considered as early as the next meeting really.

0:16:03.6 Chairman Richard Granger: So, I'll ask the Service Authority, just from the perspective of timing, what do you guys think of like moving forward now or looking to hold off a little bit?

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

0:16:14.7 Cathy Binder: I apologize for getting here late, but this is a little quick to dissolve this. And I heard something just as I walked in, absorbed the debt of the Service Authority, could you clarify that?

0:16:30.1 Kelly Lackey: I don't think I mentioned debt. So, the real property assets would have to be transferred, all of the permits, agreements, all of those things would have to be passed along. But I'm glad you asked for clarification. So, the debt would have to stay as an enterprise fund. So, the county would not be assuming. And actually, I think Mr. Stonehill might have said the county is responsible for that. The county has a moral obligation, which is not a legal obligation but it's a pledge that you will back up the Service Authority should it be in default. But it's not a legal requirement, like no one can go after the general fund of the county on behalf of the Service Authority. And I believe, Mrs. Hahn could provide a little more information on that aspect of it, that even if it were to become a Utility, it would still be an enterprise fund and the debts would be limited to the income that's coming into the Utility, not to county assets.

0:17:30.9 Cathy Binder: But my next question on that is how would that affect the bond rating for the county? Because now it's a County Utility, even though you're paying for it out of the funds that are received. How is that? Have we talked to Davenport about that?

0:17:46.6 Chris Miller: We have, and I think as Ms. Lackey indicated, it's an enterprise fund. So, you're not mixing the funds and you're not going to say that if the Service Authority say they're going to build a water treatment plant and that's an obviously a multi-million-dollar project, you're not going as the county to pledge general revenue that you get out of the general fund from personal property tax, that's not going to fund that. You would use that based on your ability to generate revenue through water and sewer rates or water rates. And so that's how you would fund that. Now, if the county said that we want to pitch in and send some capital money over there, you can do those kinds of things. You can do inter-fund loans or inter-fund transfers, but it's got to be spelled out, it's got to go through the process of a formal resolution or even an ordinance. I mean, it is like going to a bank, the Service Authority going to a bank and asking for funds if there was that kind of inter-fund type of situation, but it's not something that Ms. Hahn could come to you and say, oh, at the end of the fiscal year, we need some money. Can we ask the general fund revenue to just back it? No. You're separate. It's a separate entity. I've said this before, you have three counties around you that are public utilities. You have Stafford, you have Caroline, and you have Spotsylvania County that are public utilities. And they obviously have water rates and sewer rates that they charge their customers. And so, there's never a mixing of the two. You can't, it would affect your ability to borrow if you violated that. Your credit rating would go down.

0:19:43.8 Cathy Binder: But here's the issue, is there's a big assumption out there, especially in the online world, that if the county took it on as a Utility, everyone in the county would pay the debt.

0:19:53.0 Chris Miller: No. Not unless the county wanted to do that. The county could make that decision if they wanted to do it, but that would be the decision of the Board, it would not be something that would be just easily done. It would have to be spelled out in some kind of a fashion that you wanted to do that. But other than that, you would operate the Utility just as you're operating it right now.

0:20:21.4 Cathy Binder: So, what is the advantage, I guess? And I might have missed that, but Mr.

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Morris jumped in. That's where I'm asking.

0:20:25.7 James Morris: No, I have a question for you. You said everyone would have to contribute to the water and sewer, the conception. What's wrong with that? I pay for schools; I don't have any kids in school.

0:20:45.8 Cathy Binder: Okay. I have an answer.

0:20:49.1 James Morris: Should I be exempt from paying from school since I don't use school?

0:20:52.9 Cathy Binder: I haven't.

0:20:53.6 James Morris: I don't see the difference.

0:20:55.4 Cathy Binder: I do, and I can give you the difference right now, but finish your question.

0:20:57.4 James Morris: What is the difference? Please educate me.

0:21:03.3 Cathy Binder: Okay. Well, first, and I'm not educating, I'm just saying, I understand that. I've heard that many times from folks that are on the Service Authority like yourself. But if a person that's on well and septic, they have paid to have their well put in, they have paid for their septic. They pay for all their repairs themselves. I'm just going to give you my own example because I don't know everybody's personal business. To put in my well and septic, it cost over \$70,000. I didn't ask everybody in the county to pay for that. When I had to have my drain field repaired, it was quite over \$2,000. I didn't ask everybody to pay for that. There are people with smaller bills for that and higher bills. But hold on, let me finish the last. So, I've talked to some folks in the financial realm about this. And one comparison is we spread that over out over a 30-year mortgage. If you're someone who's on the Water and Sewer Authority, that is you don't pay for those right off the bat. You pay for those in your mortgage. I guess you, sometimes they would pass on the fee, but it's a little different. Maybe I'm wrong in that analogy, but the other thing is that the taxes that the citizens pay like to the schools and they pay for the taxes, the schools pay a water bill. And so, we're paying taxes and they pay the water bill. The restaurants, we go to the restaurants, they put it into their cost of doing business or their services. So, the residents in the county do pay. It's just two different views.

0:22:34.4 James Morris: But you don't get a bill for the fire department if they come to put a fire out of your house.

0:22:37.8 Cathy Binder: But our taxes pay for That.

0:22:40.4 James Morris: We don't get shared when Chief Moody comes to us for a million dollars for a new fire truck, or Sheriff Giles comes to us for he needs new patrol cars. It's part of doing business. Water and sewer are part of doing business. I default to Mr. Parker on this because this is his line of work. But me sitting on the sidelines from what I'm seeing, the future of what is coming down from state and federal regs is there's going to be a push to expand the municipal water system. I mean, look at Flint, Michigan, okay? I cannot see them continuing to allow individual wells to be put in. I can foresee in the future if your well fails, they're going to say, well, you're going to have to go on municipal water now. Now I pay, I just pay \$215 to have my septic tank pumped, but that's part of the water and sewer. It's part of owning a household. You've got to do this. Okay? There's always been the

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

talk, you know it takes a village to raise a child. Okay? Having drinkable water, safe, drinkable water, and having safe discharge of our treated water benefits everybody. Everybody who lives here, because if someone's septic tank fails, it can contaminate the groundwater in that area. If our sewer treatment plant fails, it could be catastrophic. As we know, we've had some close issues. So.

0:24:31.2 Cathy Binder: How much do you want the rest of the county to pay? I'm just asking, is it like an annual fee?

0:24:41.7 James Morris: Sure, I'm a retiree, I'm on a fixed income. I'll pledge \$50 a year right now for that.

0:24:47.5 Chairman Richard Granger: So, at the moment, we're kind discussing the idea of going to a Utility, which is a little bit different than the idea of whether you would have the county start paying in towards the service delivery.

0:25:00.1 James Morris: But you're talking economic development. You just had this big presentation by Nick. You just, you're in the process of redoing all the zoning and stuff. You cannot have the economic development that is projected, that's anticipated coming without water and sewer. And do you want to have control over that, or do you want to have this? We've been exploring things back and forth for the last four years on different projects and stuff. It needs to be streamlined. Nick (Minor) will tell you; we've lost potential developers because we don't have the water or sewer, and we can't give them projected data when we might have water and sewer in that area. And so.

0:25:56.4 Chairman Richard Granger: So, for economic development, which is an area where you can start looking to use county dollars because you can justify it from the perspective of it is for the benefit of everyone. And we have done that in the past where we ran the water line down from the Sheriff's Office down to Route 3 to the 301 intersection. And so those kinds of activities can be done. And if you're saying there's more opportunities and we should do more, that's fair. I'm not opposed to that. But again, try to circle back. This is a discussion about whether we should move from a Service Authority to a Utility. And what this discussion is could be regardless of whether we're a Service Authority or Utility, those activities can be done by the county regardless of the organizational makeup of it. So, I just, I wouldn't mind trying to circle back to what we were discussing.

0:26:40.2 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes. So, to answer the questions and jump to what Mr. Granger said. One, initially, it operates the same way it is, when you set it up, Board of Supervisors could always give money to the Authority through economics. It's always been possible, it's still possible. And you could add a special tax at some point if you wanted to help it out, whatever. But that's not what we're talking about when we're talking about making the switch. Those are decisions by Boards of Supervisors significantly into the future. The big benefit that I see here for the county is the negotiating posture and even the customers of the Service Authority currently. So, whenever a big entity comes in and they want to do something right, we have no negotiating power. We have to have their goodwill to do certain things. Good example is what just occurred. Everybody knows that we are taking 600,000 gallons from Birchwood. And I've heard several times, why didn't you get more? Well, we asked many, many times and the answer were, you can take 600,000 gallons or you can get a poke in the eye. Choose. So, we took 600,000 gallons. That was really the choice. It was taken it or not. They weren't offering more. So, there's no negotiating posture. They don't need us, it's just a nice gesture, right? To help us out. There's no negotiation, I can't go like, well, do you really want this? Do you really want this? We need you to do X, Y, or Z so you can do what you want to do. There's just no

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

negotiating posture. When it's a county entity, it's, okay, you want this, we've got to look at this. The county has a much stronger negotiating stance than we do. The other thing that I think, and if Ms. Cupka weren't on the Service Authority, there wouldn't be all the grants that we got. I mean, she really worked hard and talked to people and got all these grants for water and for sewer, right? But she's very connected and I'm convinced it's because she's a Supervisor. She knows who to talk to about politics and whatnot. I know political people, but I don't have any influence on them. I can't go ask a senator to, hey, could you get some money in the budget for us? It's just not doable. So, barring someone like Ms. Cupka on Board asking Senator Stuart, I think it was, to put stuff in the budget, it would've never happened. So, because the county's operating in news of all these all these different avenues and funds and I can't remember who, it might've been Ms. Cupka again, or it might've Ms. Binder brought up the water fund for people who couldn't pay their water bill. I've never heard of that, but it's because the county's looking at all that stuff and runs into all that stuff. In my everyday business, I'm up running into how do I get cheaper water for people and whatnot? You're going to have to have a lot of political connections to help fund things. You're going to be dealing with DEQ, and it sort of leads into the other part of the discussion with the water is that we're in the Potomac Aquifer and everything's going to get limited really, really quick. When we were talking to DEQ, they danced around the subject, but Mr. Moore indicated, I'm pretty sure they're going to start whacking private wells at some point. I don't know how they're going to do it. It might be you have to have X number of acres before you can build a well or whatnot. And they do that in other places where you have to have so many acres to have a well and whatnot. So, they space them out and make it so that you're influencing it less. But that may mean, if you own a small piece of property that normally you could build a house on, it suddenly becomes unbuildable. It's useless property. And they may also at some point say if you're no more houses unless they're on public water. So, as you're more than well aware, when the state government tells you that you're going to do something, it's if you can't sue them and beat them, you're stuck doing it. So, the advantage I think really is negotiating posture and helping out the customers on the Service Authority. I think since I've been here, there's just so many different things that have come up because the Supervisors are out doing things and hear about funds or hear about ways to get money or whatnot that just the average Board member's just not going to do. And I think having it as a public Utility where somebody's in that realm and dealing with all that, you're going to uncover more opportunities for funding things than we currently maybe realize.

0:32:26.5 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. If the Service Authority were to be a public Utility, would it be held the same way as it relates to the budget with a 3% variance for a public hearing?

0:32:39.3 Kelly Lackey: So, if it were a Utility under the county, then the budgetary process, to my knowledge, would have to follow that. The county rules for budget changes, budget adoption, amendments and all those things.

0:32:55.5 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: So that's what I thought. And so, when we think about that right now as the Service Authority stands, it doesn't really matter what the variance is on the budget. It can be approved and then we move on. Being in as a Utility, it has more oversight, more accountability by the county because it's like a pseudo county Utility already, but they don't only have the accountability. And it could make it so that they would have more accountability and oversight and the efficiencies would definitely come into play. The Service Authority is that and King George is I think, the third most expensive water in Virginia. I know that my water bills like \$750 every two months. And let's just keep it real, it's really not that good of water either. And at the end of the day

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

our clients are bleeding. They have to pay a lot of money for water. And I appreciate Ms. Binder's comments, but I do want to add some comments about the Service Authority being a public Utility and the need for the county to pitch in. Because at the end of the day, when people are coming to the county and have to pay for the Service Authority, they also did not occur that debt. That debt occurred years ago because of mismanagement by the county.

0:34:25.0 Chris Miller: I think Ms. Binder, I continue some of the conversation, you had asked for the advantages. So, when I took on the role, to me, it was a natural step to look at it as a Utility. Because one, your HR Department's supporting it, your Finance Department is supporting it, County Engineering is supporting it, and Mr. Morris is exactly right. And Mr. Parker, when it comes to economic development, you got to be at the table. And I understand that the Service Authority has been at the table for economic development projects in the past, but it's like making sure that you have dominion at the table with you. If it was part of the county, if it's all seamless, then it seems much easier and that's how I looked at it. When I first got here, Mr. Quesenberry, who's your County Engineer, had explained to me that he was at the table for everything up until I believe like 2015. And there was a decision made to keep the County Administrator out of discussions or interactions with the General Manager at the time. And what that to me was a big loss. And I think my predecessors as County Administrators were suffering because Mr. Quesenberry obviously had a tremendous historical knowledge of this county and obviously great engineering skills. And so, that was one of the things that I thought would be logical. The county, like I said, is already supporting the Service Authority. So, it's and through its administration and the engineering and Mr. Parker's, right? I mean, Bryce is up to his eyeballs. You guys see the to-do list that I include, the information or the projects that are being handled by County Engineering for the Utility. And some of the major projects that are on the horizon for this county. And these are county projects. I mean, they're being coordinated by the Service Authority, the decommissioning of the two wastewater plants, Purkins, and Oakland Park. Those are major county projects. The potential in the future of transitioning from groundwater to surface water, that's a county project. And so, I think that's where I looked at things and that's where the advantage is that you would benefit by just bringing it under. And the other thing is, is that clearly the decision of the Board of Directors to privatize the operations of the water and the wastewater was a very excellent choice. Great decision because the dividends are going to pay off. They're already paying off. They're only going to get better in terms of the ability to have professionally operated systems. Not that crews that were doing it were not professional, because of the pay ranges and things like that, we couldn't get those people that were highly trained and capable and things like that to stay. And so, you know that, and so I think that transition to their operations to a private operator really changed the nature of this Service Authority. As Mr. Parker said, it's really now essentially a department, it really is. And I think that's where everybody is, and what Ms. Lackey was outlining was the process, because I think your colleagues had indicated they were interested in at least having the process start. So, I realize you may have a different opinion but that's my information.

0:38:43.6 Cathy Binder: Mr. Chair, I'm already getting a feeling I'm the only one here on this side of the fence on this story, but I just wanted to clarify a couple of things. My whole 6 years, I've wanted to fix the Service Authority, but it is not an easy task. For 30 years, people didn't do preventive maintenance, people wanted to get re-elected. They didn't want to raise taxes. My view looking into the research of the Service Authority shouldn't have been started the way, people had good intentions, took on debt from the beginning. We all make bad choices; we kick the can down the road and so we're here. But one of the things I did want to clarify, I understand the whole economic development, I disagree that we could have negotiated more at the table as a Service Authority with

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

Birchwood and Amazon, but that is a difference of opinion. And then with the DEQ and the groundwater, I don't think they're going to take away private wells. That would be a big political fight. But I do know at the DEQ level, they have said King George will be going to surface water for their Service Authority or economic development. That's a given, everybody East of 95 and it is because of the aquifer. And if you actually, it's fascinating reading the water supply plan that actually mentions King George and talks about their critical cells. Which is a very abnormal thing in the water plan, because if you could read King George is in two river basins, the Rappahannock and the Potomac, the lower Rappahannock, and the lower Potomac, and it's very unusual because they mention King George having its own plan and that it has a very specific topography. And then I actually asked the soil scientists about that, and they think it's because of the fossil, because we were at the bottom of the ocean once and the fossil layer lets the saltwater come up and permeate which drains the aquifer which means it's hard to replenish. So, it is fascinating that we're mentioned like that. So, it is very important that we are good stewards of the water. That was one of my arguments for the Birchwood project, that water was life. But I just want to make sure that we have all our ducks in a row and get Davenport, I would like to ask them some questions to make sure about the commingling of the funds. How is the debt separate from the county's? How does that work? How do you separate that so that the county's bond rating doesn't end? How do you pay for the positions you are now absorbing? How is that going to affect us? But I haven't, that's not here today.

0:41:02.1 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: Mr. Chairman, can I add comments on that? Because I wanted to say something about that before, with the timing. So, I know that I have been on the Board, this is my second year, I think. We have been talking about this for years. And even before me, I think, you guys were talking about this. It just feels like every time it comes up, in fact, Davenport was here at our last joint meeting, it feels like it just takes more and more time because it just keeps on getting kicked further and further. And as it gets kicked further and further, guess what? We're losing money, because there is efficiency that we could have as it keeps on getting kicked. So, I would argue that I know that you said that we need more time, we need more this, and we have what we need, and we need to do this sooner than later.

0:41:47.5 Cathy Binder: So, part of the process, could we have them come so I can ask questions? Can I send the questions to Mr. Miller to get them answered?

0:41:55.6 Chairman Allen Parker: I think that's fair; I think Davenport can answer the questions. I don't think it would take them that long. And I think it's fair. I think it's a fair question because I think the customers and also the citizens of King George are going to want to see it. I mean, you're going to want to have that data.

0:42:15.3 Chris Miller: I can ask Mr. Laux to be at the next meeting and provide an overview.

0:42:22.6 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes. I think that would be good to have on public record, how it works from an outside source.

0:42:30.0 Ann Cupka: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to state very clearly for the record that we have a separate legal instrument with regard to water because proffers must be voluntary, and they must relate to the impacts from a project. Thank you.

0:42:53.4 Cathy Binder: Can I just do one clarifier on that? I did want to ask you for, is there any way we can get from Birchwood, Amazon the amount of water that will be used for their 19 data centers?

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Because that is important as part of this discussion also, the gallons usage.

0:43:17.0 Chairman Allen Parker: Do you mean potable or non-potable?

0:43:17.1 Kelly Lackey: You want to know what their usage is? I mean, they'll have to have a permit modification process that will be a public process. So, I imagine there'll be documents as part of that process that will be publicly available.

0:43:30.1 Cathy Binder: And I'm not just asking about potable water, I'm asking about the actual water they're using for the data center. It's just as my argument and line of thought at the meeting when the project was approved is, there is only one straw in that river right now that we're going to have to draw from for our surface water, for our citizens in the future. And so, we need to know how much water they're going to take out, and we're going to have to purchase it from the permit holder because we do not hold the permit. So that would be good to know in the budgeting process and moving forward, what that actual amount is. And I know they've done projections of it, I'm sure they have.

0:44:12.5 Kelly Lackey: Yes, Mr. Miller was, had a sidebar where he said we can certainly request that information. I don't know if they're obligated to provide it other than through the permitting process through DEQ.

0:44:27.3 Cathy Binder: And that's fine. I'm asking, if they say no, that's fine, but I do know they will have to get a permit modification, because their permit is for a coal fired electrical plant, not a data campus or a data center. So, I'm sure that'll have to come through the DEQ permitting process.

0:44:49.9 Chairman Richard Granger: So, there's a request to have Davenport come at the next meeting hopefully?

0:44:55.8 Chris Miller: Yes. And would you like them to also be present at the Board of Supervisors meeting?

0:44:57.9 Chairman Richard Granger: If they're going to come brief, yes, because at the end of the day that would, it will require a resolution from both Boards. So sure, if they're going to be here let them present the information at both Board meetings.

0:45:08.9 Chris Miller: The presentation then. Yes, sir.

0:45:10.7 Chairman Richard Granger: Alright, that's fine. And if we want to hold off an extra meeting to wait for the resolution to come forth, so it'd be the second meeting in October, that's fine, assuming things shake out the way I think they will with Davenport. But I would ask if everyone would be amenable to Ms. Lackey at least moving forward, trying to craft those resolutions just to be ready.

0:45:26.5 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes, I would say craft them, just so we're ready if it seems acceptable.

0:45:41.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Was there any other discussion then upon the Service Authority versus Utility? I know we have a couple of other discussion items as well.

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

0:45:52.5 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes, sir. The other has kind of been alluded to, so the regulatory agencies. And I will make a clarification, I don't think the DEQ is going to come down and say, if you have a private well, you can't have it anymore, and if it fails you can redo it, right? On your property. What I've tended to see in other places, Charles County, Maryland is a good place, Maryland's version of DEQ has started restricting the size of the land on which you can put a well and a septic. So, it gets big. It starts to go two acres, or five acres and you have to have a large piece of land so that you're not interfering with it. And then they sometimes will affect density, like you can't have so many houses per square mile or what not. So, I don't think they'd ever be like, you can't have your well if you've already got one, but they surely could be that piece of property you thought you were going to build your house no, not anymore. Not unless you could buy your neighbor's land or whatnot and get it big enough. And that's been done in several states and whatnot. And DEQ wasn't really forthcoming on exactly what they were going to do, but with how squirrely they were when I asked the question, it means to me anyway, that they've got something under contemplation and haven't come up with an exact plan on it. But I think moving forward will be an issue for the entire county, where one, you're not going to be able to put in Commercial wells will go extinct, right? So, if you don't have, that's 100%, DEQ said that at the meeting, if your commercial well interferes with those little impact squares, done, shut it down. So commercial wells out towards Oakland Park, Sealston, they're all going to go offline. So, I would say the Birchwood wells will go offline, because I don't think they're going to get re-permitted. That's my guess because they're impacting a critical zone. It also says Sealston well is going to be impacting a critical zone, so they'll want that offline too. Some of the school systems' wells will not be allowed. So, there's a couple items to consider on that that will impact the county on a bigger level, not just the particular customers. because one, if you can't build on the property you wanted to, that's problematic, because your land value is not worth anything. And then also if some of these commercial wells, I think of Bloomia, because Bloomia I'm pretty sure is on wells, aren't they? I think they're on wells. Yes, those wells are going to go offline. So, the question comes, because they're, I guarantee, impacting the critical zone too, and they've indicated they're telling a lot of commercial wells to shut down, East of 95 and they're dialing back a lot of the capacity on the public system wells, tremendously. And you're going to see that here, every other county is going to get their wells downgraded, the capacity allowed, not that the well couldn't pump the capacity. It's just you're not going to be allowed to. So commercial development, since the surface water system is going to go out the window pretty quickly, I'd say within the next ten years, the next permitting round you're going to see a lot of that go away. And some of the places that we have here, well, may lose their permit for a well in the not-so-distant future. So those are just kind of things I wanted to more make the Supervisors aware of in meetings we've had with DEQ just as everyone moves forward considering development and whatnot in the county the commercial well shut down and the downgrading of our public wells, that is going to happen. That's DEQ is going to start doing that. That's not a question, they blatantly told us that, and they're already doing it in other counties. But I would caution that they may also start doing stuff with, like I said, I don't think they're ever going to tell you, you can't re-fix your private well in your property if you've had one, but I do get the feeling they're going to restrict how many wells are in a particular location, or if you can even put one in a particular location if it's too close to a critical zone. And there's a lot of critical zones. So those are just kind of things I wanted to make everybody, both Boards, aware of. The grant money issue, we talked about it with Ms. Hahn, we were initially worried that if we flipped it from an Authority to a Utility the grant money would be an issue. We are working on getting confirmation of that from VDH and DEQ on it, but Ms. Hahn doesn't believe now it would be an issue because of just how things have changed with the funds. We haven't used much of ours; I think the county has used most of theirs. This is the ARPA-related funds. And I think we've already beat to death the current and future water

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

issues. That's the current ones are, as everybody's probably well aware now, DEQ is looking to get us off of well water sooner rather than later. And that's not just King George, that's everybody, including Accomack County, which is a peninsula in the middle of brackish water and saltwater, so they don't have anything easy so, and they're looking to get them off wells as well. So those are the future issues that we got to get on surface water and the initial salvo will probably be stuff off the Rappahannock. The Rappahannock is obviously the, or maybe not obviously, Rappahannock is the better place to get water to treat. It's easy, it's more easily treatable. The Potomac is not as good a quality.

0:52:38.8 Chris Miller: If I could just add to what Mr. Parker was discussing, so the current and future water issues, obviously we know the MOU with Birchwood, and Ms. Lackey and I are working through that, and the current water issues and Mr. Parker is correct in terms of what our conversations with DEQ, how they have kind of transpired, and a lot of this happened as a result of the Hopyard water permit, the groundwater permit. And as you may remember, Hopyard has never had a permit. For whatever reason DEQ never exercised it, and they came to us and told us what their permit levels were going to recommend. There was a big movement now with DEQ to try to streamline and to really push out these permit applications. And so Hopyard was one of the ones on the top of their list. And so, they informed us that we had to act and accept their modifications or their permit levels or being a problem, and what Bryce Young had come up with was, we were pretty significantly far apart on what their projections were, and their restrictions were versus what we were actually using in Hopyard. We announced this in a public meeting, we submitted a voluntary consent order, where an offer to go into a consent order to start working on a plan that was a multi-year endeavor, and 10 years, 15 years down the road we'd have a new transition plan from groundwater to surface water for not just Hopyard, but for a number of other critical well systems, Courthouse and Canterbury and Circle, and even the Dahlgren system. We've heard back that, I don't know, that they're not interested or that they want to move forward on a Hopyard permit. So, we will be receiving some kind of information from them here in the future. We had that meeting, Bryce, I think that call was about a month ago, wasn't it? And they had some change over at DEQ, personnel-wise. And so, there's new people involved, and I think they would like to see something with Hopyard. So, we'll be revisiting that here soon. But it is a big issue because it's really what Mr. Parker is talking about, it triggered a lot of other discussions, that we are going to have issues with some of our other groundwater withdrawal permits, and so the transition to surface water is essential, it's very critical, it's very important. I don't know if they're going to say tomorrow it has to be done or anything like that, but there's clearly going to be a pretty intensive amount of time that they're going to look for us to do this on.

0:56:12.8 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes. Just to kind of jump on that too, so from the discussions with DEQ, just so you are aware you cannot build anything else on the Courthouse system? It's done. It's tapped out. There is no possibility to build the commercial portion of Hopyard at this time. The DEQ has indicated they, even though Mr. Young had calculated we're using more water than they'd like us to give in Hopyard, there's part of the regulation, they can't downgrade the well so much that they can't give water to citizens for residential use. But they will not allow any other usage of that water. So, I don't know how they'll modify the permit, I know what they want, what they'd like to get it down to base on their model, but the law does say they can't reduce it so much. But that also goes into the discussions on sprinklers and whatnot, those will ultimately be almost banned. I mean, you won't be able to water your lawn, because that's just wasteful usage there, but the regs are required to allow the residential usage to continue, but they don't have to allow any additional beyond what was planned. You can't now put in a subdivision in the Courthouse area and expect to get the water you need. It's just not going to happen. If it's not been approved already, it's off the table until there's some

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

kind of surface water. Same thing with Hopyard operands. Pumps are capable of much, much more water than DEQ's going to allow. So, any development of them is strictly finishing out that subdivision. Residential lives.

0:58:02.6 James Morris: Mr. Granger. One final comment about future needs, and this benefits every, and I do mean every property owner in the county. What you pay for fire insurance is known, is determined by ISO rating reading which stands for Insurance Service Organization. Currently, everybody in the county is somewhere, like an eight, nine or 10. I paid a 10 equivalent to having no fire department, even though I stood halfway between company one and company two. A major component in your rating is your water delivery system. The more you can improve that, and you can get water to areas where there might be a fire affects your rating. The lower the rating, the lower your fire insurance is for your property, so I would much rather pay some money into improving our system for all of our citizens than my insurance company getting more profit, because we know what kind of profit these insurance company makes. I mean just look at the salaries they pay their top executives and stuff. And they're into business to make money. So, do we pay to make our life better or do we pay to make these people richer? And there's not a property owner in this county that is not paying fire, but they should be paying fire insurance. So, it benefits everybody the more we can expand and improve the system.

1:00:10.4 Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have anything else? Any other discussion?

1:00:12.8 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: Mr. Miller, we were just talking about the permit, I imagine that this is an issue in other areas too, is there a consultant that maybe could come in and help us and look at the different options that are available for surface water and give us some sort of direction of what we should do about that?

1:00:36.0 Chris Miller: Yes, certainly. And we do have an engineering firm that we use, well, several that we use. TRC is one, and then RK&K. So, they're both engaged with the Service Authority on different projects, but I know there are also specialists, Mr. Pomeroy, who helped us with the consent order for Hopyard as a water lawyer. And we can certainly reach out to him and ask for some guidance there. So, I can defer that to Ms. Lackey, because I do agree that from the standpoint of what your future is and how you want to do this, then you want to bring in some folks that are specialists in this area.

1:01:23.0 Chairman Allen Parker: And so RK&K did do a study kind of on locations of where you could land plants and where you could take water from the Rappahannock and if we were doing a joint kind of a joint conversation with Caroline. So, it's fairly limited, there's a fairly limited stretch of the Rappahannock, that's where the water is deep enough, there's enough of it, and it's not brackish yet that you get extract from. So, it's limited, it's not the whole length of the county, but RK&K does have a study where they put a lot of that together. I don't know if you were on Board when they did that one, but I think Mr. Miller could provide that.

1:02:10.7 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: But I think I'm not this in terms of these studies but giving us some direction, it's not like can they say, here's your best options, here's what I recommend. I certainly can't do that on this Board, I don't have the education or knowledge to be able do that, I don't think anybody in this room does.

1:02:29.8 Chris Miller: Well, I think the plan was, is if DEQ agreed with your proposed consent order

King George County Board of Supervisors
 and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
 September 21, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

to get some time to study Hopyard and to study your entire system, and then what your plan was on a transition, then obviously the Service Authority would engage some kind of a consultant to come in and basically develop that plan. I think Mr. Young has very good skills, but I don't know whether he is a water engineer or a water expert, but you would want somebody that would help you guide that plan and work with you collaboratively on how that would be done. Does that answer that question? I know that we're not alone, and you are right. We are in what's known as the Potomac aquifer. It's not a good one. We're like the second worst in terms of availability of the aquifer. Mr. Parker referred to is out there in Accomack County and I can't remember the name of that one, but that is a really bad system or bad groundwater area. So, we're the second worst and critical. So, we definitely have some resources that we could reach out to, and we'll be happy to do that.

1:04:00.3 Cathy Binder: Mr. Miller, I was going to bring up what I had spoken to you about at my last HB meeting. I had a discussion with the second in command at DEQ and I had mentioned before many meetings that it would be great if they could come, and Boards could ask them questions about things we don't know about DEQ. I talked to them about coming in December or January for the new Board. Would it be better for all these questions to have them come a little earlier where we could ask them more questions because all of us could be more well-versed on this. I don't know how they would feel doing it earlier rather than later. Some new Board Members can get up to speed, but they offered, they thought it was a great idea, and they thought it should be done all over the state, and we could be the first one they tried it out on.

1:04:44.2 Chairman Allen Parker: Sooner rather than later, because it'll go on public records and whatnot. So, I mean the sooner you hear it, and there's a lot of people that'll stay on the Boards even at the shift there's still several people that'll stay on the matter.

1:04:56.3 Cathy Binder: They were talking about it like at a work session. So, we have a meeting next Thursday, so I can mention it to them. But they like the idea. As I said, there's a lot of us that there's so many questions you don't know?

1:05:10.5 Chairman Allen Parker: Yes. All right. I guess we're looking for motions.

KGCBOS Closed Session:

1:05:20.4 Ann Cupka: I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convene in closed session to discuss water and sewer provisions associated with a proposed local incentive package for our major economic development project pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711.A.6 for discussion and consideration of investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved. Where if made public initially, the financial interest of the county would be affected and specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice. Excuse me. Pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711.8. I invite the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors, County Administrator, County Attorney and Assistant County Attorney, because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the Board in its consideration of one or more of the topics to be discussed pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3712.F.

1:06:17.9 Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

1:06:18.0 Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Is there any discussion? All in favor from the Board of Supervisor say, Aye.

1:06:21.3 Cathy Binder: Aye.

1:06:23.5 Ann Cupka: Aye.

1:06:26.0 Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

1:06:27.3 Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries. The Board of Supervisors is in closed session.

KGCSA BOD Closed Session:

1:06:31.2 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: I move that the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors convene in closed session to discuss water and sewer provisions associated with and a proposed local incentive package for major economic development project pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711.A.6 for discussion and consideration of investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved, where if made public initially, the financial interest of the county would be affected, and specifically legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711.8. I invite the King George County Board of Supervisors Interim General Manager, County Attorney and Assistant County Attorney, because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the Board and its consideration of the topics to be discussed, pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3712.F.

1:07:27.9 Ann Cupka: Second.

1:07:29.2 Chairman Allen Parker: I have a motion made and properly seconded. Is there any discussion? All those on the Board of Directors.

1:07:36.1 James Morris: Aye.

1:07:36.1 Ann Cupka: Aye.

1:07:36.1 Cathy Binder: Aye

1:07:36.6 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: Aye.

1:07:39.3 Chairman Allen Parker: Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We are in closed session.

KGCBOS Return to Public Meeting:

1:27:30.0 Ann Cupka: I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors return to public meeting and certify by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law, and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered during the closed meeting.

1:27:51.2 Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

1:27:52.1 Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. We will roll call vote. Mrs. Binder.

1:27:56.9 Cathy Binder: So certified.

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

1:27:57.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Mrs. Cupka.

1:27:58.1 Ann Cupka: So certified.

1:28:00.7 Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Stonehill.

1:28:01.7 Jeffrey Stonehill: So certified.

1:28:02.6 Chairman Richard Granger: Chair so certified, we're back in open session.

KGCSA BOD Return to Public Meeting:

1:28:06.5 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: I move that the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors return to public meeting and certify by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered during the closed meeting.

1:28:26.5 James Morris: Second.

1:28:26.6 Ann Cupka: Second.

1:28:27.5 Chairman Allen Parker: I have a motion made and properly seconded. Is there any discussion? We'll do a roll call vote, Ms. Cleveland.

1:28:33.8 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: So certified.

1:28:34.5 Chairman Allen Parker: Mr. Morris.

1:28:36.4 James Morris: So certified.

1:28:36.7 Chairman Allen Parker: Ms. Binder.

1:28:37.1 Cathy Binder: So certified.

1:28:37.4 Chairman Allen Parker: Ms. Cupka.

1:28:39.8 Ann Cupka: So certified.

1:28:40.8 Chairman Allen Parker: And the Chair so certified, we are back in open session.

1:28:42.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Is there any other business to bring before the Boards this evening? Okay.

KGCBOS Adjournment:

1:28:50.5 Jeffrey Stonehill: Right. I move to adjournment for the King George Board of Supervisors till Tuesday, October 3, 2023.

King George County Board of Supervisors
and King George County Service Authority Board of Directors Joint Meeting
September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes

1:28:58.5 Chairman Richard Granger: September 26th. 6:00 PM.

1:29:04.3 Jeffrey Stonehill: I move to adjourn till Tuesday, September 26th at 6:00 PM here in the Boardroom.

1:29:09.7 Ann Cupka: Second.

1:29:10.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Motion properly second. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

1:29:13.3 Ann Cupka: Aye.

1:29:13.3 Cathy Binder: Aye.

1:29:13.7 Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

1:29:14.4 Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes, Aye. Motion carries, we are Adjourned.

KGCSA BOD Adjournment:

1:29:18.9 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: I move to adjourn to Tuesday, October 3, 2023, at 5:30 PM in the Boardroom.

1:29:24.3 Ann Cupka: Second.

1:29:25.9 Chairman Allen Parker: Motion made and seconded. Any discussion? All those in favor?

1:29:28.7 Cathy Binder: Aye.

1:29:29.9 Ann Cupka: Aye.

1:29:30.5 Vice Chairman Carrie Cleveland: Aye.

1:29:30.4 James Morris: Aye.

1:29:30.5 Chairman Allen Parker: Chair votes Aye. Motion carries.